


GV

1001

S75

1900



VOL. 10, NO. III,
ISSUED MONTHLY.

APRIL, 1900.

PRICE 10 CENTS.
\$1.20 PER YEAR.

Spalding's

ATHLETIC LIBRARY.

1900

SPALDING'S LAWN TENNIS ANNUAL.

EDITED BY
J. PARMLEY PARET.

PUBLISHED BY THE

AMERICAN SPORTS PUBLISHING CO.

16 & 18 PARK PLACE, NEW YORK.

ENTERED AT THE NEW YORK POST OFFICE, N. Y. AS SECOND CLASS MATTER.

Spalding's

Illustrated

Catalogue

Spring and Summer

Sports



Mailed
free to
any address

H. G. Spalding & Bros.

New York
Chicago
Denver

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC GOODS
ARE STANDARD OF QUALITY
AND OFFICIALLY RECOGNIZED
AS SUCH BY THE LEADING
GOVERNING BODIES, WHO IN-
VARIABLY ADOPT SPALDING'S
GOODS AS THE BEST MADE



THE SPALDING O F F I C I A L

League Base Ball
Intercollegiate Foot Ball
Gaelic Foot Ball
Association Foot Ball
Basket Ball
Indoor Base Ball
Polo Ball
Boxing Gloves
Athletic Implements



MALCOLM D. WHITMAN,
CHAMPION OF AMERICA.

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY
**SPALDING'S LAWN
TENNIS ANNUAL**
FOR 1900

CONTAINING A COMPLETE RECORD OF THE
SEASON OF 1899, BESIDES REVISED OFFICIAL
PLAYING RULES. PORTRAITS, RECORDS
AND RANKING OF THE CHAMPIONS, RULES
AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDICAPPING.



EDITED BY
J. PARMLY PARET

AMERICAN SPORTS PUBLISHING CO.

16-18 Park Place, New York

Copyright, 1900, by the American Sports Publishing Company

711001

.575

Q 10471
Apr. 25-1900 3

TWO COPIES RECEIVED.

Library of Congress

Office of the


APR 25 1900

INDEX.


Register of Copyrights



	Page.
Review of the Season of 1899.....	5
Official Ranking of the Players.....	9
Ranking for the Previous Six Years.....	10
Official List of the Season's Prize Winners.....	11
National Championship Tournaments.....	14
Previous National Champions.....	20
Sectional Championship Events.....	22
Previous Sectional Champions.....	41
State Championship Meetings.....	43
Collegiate and Scholastic.....	51
Previous Intercollegiate Champions.....	54
Previous Interscholastic Champions.....	57
Open Tournaments.....	58
Foreign Championships.....	63
Revised Official Playing Rules.....	68
Rules and Instructions for Handicapping.....	75
Official Fixtures for 1900.....	79
Hand Tennis, by E. J. Giannini.....	81



REVIEW OF THE SEASON OF 1899



The lawn tennis season of 1899 was highly successful from every point of view. The absence of Wrenn and Larned the year before, when they were with Roosevelt's Rough Riders in Cuba, gave the younger element a chance to get away from the discouraging shadow of superior skill, and they improved rapidly. Just how much this improvement has progressed is a matter of opinion, for it is difficult to find a fixed standard from which to judge of it. Wrenn was too weak from his long siege of the fever to play again during the season of 1899, but Larned did play, and it is on his form that all comparisons must be based. However, Larned did not show anything like his old form, except at rare intervals last year, and I am inclined to believe that he did not once reach the mark he set for himself two seasons before. His play cannot therefore be justly used as a standard from which to judge the progress of the others.

Champion Whitman's unparalleled success, and the unexpected outcome of the Newport championship meeting were the features of the season. Early in the spring, Whitman first demonstrated his willingness and his ability to win all the challenge cups in sight, and he finished the season with a long list to his credit. He went through a big field for the Massachusetts State championship, and won the title from Ware after a five-set match. Then came his successful defense of the Middle States championship against Larned, and the Canadian championship victory followed the next week without the loss of a set. Two weeks of comparative rest gave him ample time to recuperate, and then he successfully defended the New York State championship and the Longwood challenge cups. Next he went to Southampton and played through the Long Island tournament, winning without the loss of a set; then came two more weeks of rest before his successful defense of the National championship, which ended his season at Newport.

Throughout the history of American lawn tennis, no player, be he the champion or not the champion, has ever played through a season so successfully as that. Whitman's entering one tournament after another exhibited a courage and confidence that no previous champion has ever shown, not even excepting the famous R. D. Sears, of cherished memory. Sears played through several seasons unbeaten, but never such a season as that which Whitman recently finished. Unquestionably Whitman is the greatest match winner we have ever had in America, not even excepting the redoubtable "Bob" Wrenn.

Of all the most promising of the young players who showed good form this season, we find Beals Wright, the interscholastic champion, the most consistent winner of them all, and this because he understands safe play best and the use of the "forcing stroke." Wright, I venture to predict, will be one of the best winners of the country before he leaves Harvard. He plays in exactly "Bob" Wrenn's style, only much better than Wrenn did before he entered college. His chief failing now is his lack of speed, but this will come in due time.

Holcombe Ward and John A. Allen, too, have carried safe play to a considerable degree of success, though neither is as sound in theory or practice as Wright. Allen volleys weakly and comes to the net too seldom, often trying to win with a fast ground stroke instead of working up to the proper position to kill with a smash. Ward, on the other hand, uses the net too much, often taking big risks to get there. His cut stroke lacks speed for sure passing at the net, though it is none the worse for the twist in forcing plays.


Two other young players showed brilliant form during last season, and much is expected of them this year. Raymond D. Little, the Princeton expert who won the International Championship in Canada from Fischer and Bond late in the season, shows all the marks of the highest type of brilliant play, though his style is not an easy or a graceful one. He takes too many risky chances, often trying to win from unsafe positions, rather than waiting for the true opening for the winning play. Young Edward P. Larned is an exact reproduction of his famous older

brother in style and skill. A few years of experience promise to round him out into a second star of his brother's magnitude, but he shows no tendency toward steadiness, safe play having been already sacrificed for brilliancy.

Again last year the championship meeting ended in the triumph of steady, aggressive play backed up by the best of physical condition, over the intermittent brilliancy that is so characteristic of American top form, and the old lesson of 1894 was repeated once more. There is a remarkable similarity between Goodbody's success at Newport six years ago and that of Paret last season. Each struggled through a difficult field, with the chances of draw against him, and each seemed beaten again and again, only to rise to the surface once more through dogged persistence and constant ability to keep the balls "coming back" to his opponent. Each triumphed over one brilliant opponent after another, only to spend his last strength in a heart-breaking final match of the full five sets, and then go down before aggressive steadiness in the challenge match for the championship. Is not the moral plain? Look back at the championship records for the last six or seven years—ever since the modern game came into vogue—and what do you see? Steadiness, steadiness, steadiness on top, first, last and all the time!

The season of 1899 furnished perhaps the most useful data that has yet been collected in regard to the relative skill of the leaders in different sections of the country. The players of the middle West have been known for the last five or six years, and their relative skill has been demonstrated often enough within the last few to permit several of the Chicago leaders each year to be ranked high up on the country's honor list. The extreme West has long had good players, although their exact relative skill has been shrouded in doubt.

A team of four of the leading Eastern players, however, composed of Whitman, Davis, Ward and Wright, made a tour of the Coast tennis resorts in September, and met a similar team of the four leading Californian experts at Monterey the first week they played there. These matches were played on the "round robin" system, and each was three out of five sets, the visitors playing



THE CHALLENGE MATCH BETWEEN WHITMAN AND PARET.

each of the home team in turn. The Easterners played under some disadvantage, being in a strange climate from that they were used to, and playing on courts with a surface radically different from anything else they had ever used. Even under these conditions they lost only two matches in sixteen.

In doubles, the feature of the season was the play of two teams, Davis and Ward, who won the championship, and Hackett and Allen, who won every tournament they entered except the three in which they met the champions. The play of the Yale team was certainly very clever, although hardly in the same class on grass courts with the champions. Theirs is more of a defensive than an aggressive game, while the champions' style is just the reverse. The surprise of the year in doubles occurred in the far West, when Davis and Ward were badly beaten, three straight sets, by Whitman and Wright—who, by the way, should prove a most dangerous combination next season—and the latter in turn were beaten in straight sets by the Hardy brothers, of California.

OFFICIAL RANKING OF THE PLAYERS.

The report of the official Ranking Committee for the season of 1899, was as follows:

Class 1—(owe 1-6 15) M. D. Whitman.

Class 2—(scratch) D. F. Davis, W. A. Larned.

Class 3—(2-6 15) J. P. Paret, K. Collins, G. L. Wrenn, Jr., L. E. Ware, B. C. Wright, H. Ward, R. P. Huntington, Jr., R. Stevens, E. P. Fischer, J. A. Allen.

Class 4—(4-6 15) S. C. Millett, W. S. Bond, R. D. Little, H. H. Hackett, E. R. Marvin, C. R. Budlong.

Class 5—(15) J. C. Davidson, A. Codman, R. McKittrick, E. P. Larned, W. J. Clothier, N. H. Mundy.

Class 6—(15 and 2-6) K. Horton, J. R. Carpenter, H. E. Avery, W. C. Grant, S. P. Ware, A. C. McMaster.

The committee has been unable to rank the following players: C. Hobart, A. E. Foote, M. G. Chace, G. H. Miles, C. B. Neel, C. P. Dodge. Hobart has not played in this country at all this

season. Neel has played in the Western championship only, which he won.

Of the players on the Pacific Coast, Sumner Hardy is undoubtedly entitled to rank among our first five players. George Whitney, Samuel Hardy, R. F. Whitney and J. F. Foulkes must also be counted among our good players.

(Signed)

JAMES DWIGHT,

E. P. FISCHER,

D. F. DAVIS,

Ranking Committee.

In comparing the list of 1899 with that of 1898, many interesting comparisons can be made. The committee has ranked only thirty-one players this year, as against thirty-seven last season, and many names which appeared last year are not included in the present list. Of the 1898 list, the following names do not appear on the list for 1899: G. K. Belden, J. D. Forbes, G. H. Miles, R. H. Carleton, A. P. Hawes, R. Hooker, G. W. Lee, A. L. Williston, H. T. Cole, W. Cross, H. L. Ewer, C. Whitbeck, W. K. Auchincloss, H. A. Plummer and Harris. On the other hand, the following names are found in the 1899 list, which did not appear in 1898: W. A. Larned, K. Collins, R. P. Huntington, Jr., E. P. Larned, N. H. Mundy, K. Horton, J. R. Carpenter, Jr., W. C. Grant and A. C. McMaster.

RANKING FOR THE PREVIOUS SIX YEARS.

The following table shows the first ten players of the country for each year since 1893:

Rank.	1893.	1894.	1895.	1896.	1897.	1898.
1	Wrenn.	Wrenn.	Hovey.	R. Wrenn.	R. Wrenn.	Whitman.
2	Hovey.	Larned.	Larned.	Larned.	Larned.	Ware.
3	Hobart.	Goodbody.	Chace.	Neel.	Eaves.	Bond.
4	Larned.	Hovey.	Howland.	Hovey.	Nisbet.	Davis.
5	Chace.	Chace.	Wrenn.	Fischer.	Mahony.	Budlong.
6	Hall.	Hobart.	Neel.	G. Wrenn.	G. Wrenn.	Fischer.
7	Stevens.	Stevens.	Hobart.	Stevens.	Whitman.	G. Wrenn.
8	S. Chase.	Budlong.	Stevens.	Whitman.	Collins.	Stevens.
9	Foote.	Foote.	Foote.	Ware.	Fischer.	Millett.
10	Budlong.	Parker.	Budlong.	Sheldon.	Bond.	Belden.

OFFICIAL LIST OF THE SEASON'S PRIZE-WINNERS.

A. M. Agelasto.—Championship singles, Virginia State.

J. A. Allen.—First doubles (with Hackett), New England; first singles, first doubles (with Hackett), Lenox; first doubles (with Hackett), Metropolitan; first doubles (with Hackett), Western championships.

Miss Juliette Atkinson.—Championship singles, Ladies' Western championship.

W. S. Bond.—First singles, Chicago Invitation; first doubles (with Fischer), Newcastle; first doubles (with Fischer) Sorrento.

C. R. Budlong.—Championship singles, first doubles (with Dana), Rhode Island State.

J. R. Carpenter, Jr.—Championship doubles (with Wilson), Pennsylvania State.

M. G. Chace.—First singles, Rhode Island State.

Miss Craven.—First national women's doubles (with Miss McAteer), Wissahickon.

R. N. Dana.—First doubles (with Budlong), Rhode Island State.

J. C. Davidson.—Championship singles, first doubles (with Paret), Southern.

D. F. Davis.—First doubles (with Ward), Middle States; first and championship singles, first doubles (with Ward), Magnolia; first singles, Eastern championship doubles (with Ward), Longwood; final and national doubles championship (with Ward), Newport; first singles, first doubles (with Ward), Intercollegiate.

H. Evans.—First singles, Virginia State.

E. P. Fischer.—Championship singles, Metropolitan; first singles, New York State; first doubles (with Bond), Newcastle; first doubles (with Bond), Sorrento.

A. E. Foote.—First and championship singles, New England.

H. H. Hackett.—First doubles (with Allen), New England; first doubles (with Allen), Lenox; first doubles (with Allen), Metropolitan; first doubles (with Allen), Western championships; championship singles, Sorrento; championship singles, Sleepy Hollow.

B. S. Harris.—First doubles (with Sibley), Maine Interscholastic.

A. L. Hoskins.—First national mixed doubles (with Miss Rastall), Wissahickon.

Miss M. Jones.—First singles and national women's championship, Wissahickon.

W. Jordan.—First doubles (with Morris), Pennsylvania State.

E. P. Larned.—First doubles (with W. A. Larned), New Jersey State.

W. A. Larned.—First doubles (with E. P. Larned), New Jersey State; first singles, Middle States.

R. D. Little.—First doubles (with Paret), New York State; first and championship singles, Niagara.

Miss McAteer.—First national women's doubles (with Miss Craven), Wissahickon; first singles, Ladies' Western championship.

S. C. Millett.—First singles, New Jersey State.

J. S. Morris.—First doubles (with Jordan), Pennsylvania State.

C. B. Neel.—First and championship singles, Western championships.

Miss Neely.—First doubles (with Miss Carrie B. Neely), Ladies' Western championship.

J. P. Paret.—First singles, first doubles (with Davidson), Southern; first singles, Metropolitan; first doubles (with Little), New York State; first singles, Sorrento; first singles, Newport; first singles, Sleepy Hollow.

Miss Parker.—First and championship singles, Niagara.

Miss Rastall.—First national mixed doubles (with Mr. Hosmer), Wissahickon.

W. Sibley.—First singles, first doubles (with Harris), Maine Interscholastic.

R. Stevens.—Championship singles, New Jersey State.

Miss V. Summerhayes.—First women's singles and championship, Canadian.

M. Thompson.—First singles, Princeton Interscholastic.

H. Ward.—First doubles (with Davis), Middle States; first doubles (with Davis), Magnolia; Eastern championship doubles (with Davis), Longwood; final and national doubles championship (with Davis), Newport; first doubles (with Davis), Intercollegiate.

M. D. Whitman.—First and championship singles, Massachusetts State; championship singles, Middle States; first and championship singles, first doubles (with Wright), Canadian championship; championship singles, New York State; first singles, first doubles (with Ware), Southampton; championship singles, Longwood; national singles championship, Newport.

G. F. Whitney.—First and championship singles, Pacific States.

R. N. Willson.—First and championship singles, championship doubles (with Carpenter), Pennsylvania State.

B. C. Wright.—First doubles (with Whitman), Canadian; first singles, Newcastle; national interscholastic championship, Newport.



NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENTS



THE NEWPORT MEETING.

The national championship tournament of 1899 offered one innovation which must be looked upon as desirable above all others, in the absence of the crowding on the entry-list of a host of third and fourth-class players, who were bound to be hopelessly outclassed in such a tournament. As it was, the list included every active player of importance, except Davidson, Millett, Miles, Hackett and a few prominent Westerners. It was unfortunate perhaps that Larned and Allen were forced to default on account of physical unfitness, and Wrenn's absence was also because he was in no condition to play, but Neel, Foote and one or two others who played in the spring, had no thought of entering at Newport. To make up for these absentees, there were the entries of both Huntington and Chace, the two veteran experts of years gone by, as well as Collins and Bond from the West, this being the first Eastern appearance of the former. All in all, the entries last season were stronger, if not more numerous, than those of the year before, and the tournament was in every way a success.

The preliminary round brought out nothing of particular interest, but in the first the Ward-Budlong, Paret-Chace, Huntington-Little and Wrenn-Fischer matches began the excitement. In the first was shown a most striking contrast between modern methods and those of ten years ago, while Paret beat Chace because of better physical condition to stand the strain.

In the second round only the Huntington-Stevens and Boud-Wright matches were of special importance. Here the veteran first showed his splendid staying qualities, and won in five sets from the one man above all others who is supposed to outlast any other player on the courts. Bond beat Wright in a curious match of five sets, in which each took turns at weak play. Wright lost his nerve somewhat in the third set, and slowed up noticeably in his speed, which gave the Westerner just the chance he wanted, and he took quick advantage of his opportunity.


The fourth round furnished four exciting matches, three of them being of the "heart-breaking" order. Ware won from Ward after five sets of the most trying kind of play, and this, too, after the score had reached two sets to one against him. Collins, the Westerner, showed his first real touch of championship form against Wrenn, winning by three sets to two in really clever style. His play showed so much thoughtful headwork that he was at once picked out as a student of the game who must ultimately rise to the top, or very near it.

Davis beat Bond in four sets, which were a constant struggle for the net, with the advantage in favor of Davis because he used better ground-strokes than Bond.

The fourth match was one of the longest of the tournament, being between Paret and Huntington, and furnished another struggle with endurance as a potent factor. The semi-final round brought Paret against Ware, and Davis against Collins; and Paret and Davis each won in straight sets.

The final match between Paret and Davis proved the biggest "upset" of the tournament. Paret, hitherto a second-class player, was thought to be nearly if not quite outclassed, and Davis suffered somewhat from over-confidence. Both men rushed to the net at every opening, coming in constantly behind the service, and sharp volleying at the net or an opponent's error accounted for nearly every point. Both used the most modern tactics, and each made such good use of his service that the server won a large majority of the games. In the end this match furnished another victory for steadiness and physical training, backed by the everlasting persistence that has scored so many victories for ex-champion Wrenn.

The challenge match for the championship found a tired man pitted against a fresh one, with the same result recorded in nearly every previous year where the challenger has not outclassed the holder of the title. Paret was totally unable to do himself justice, although Whitman would probably have won anyway. Poor judgment and physical "staleness" kept the challenger from continuing in the first two sets the net play that had won each of his victories for him, and from the base-line he was no match for



DWIGHT F. DAVIS AND HOLCOMBE WARD,
CHAMPIONS OF THE UNITED STATES IN DOUBLES.

the champion. When the third set began, however, Paret changed his style of play, and instantly the whole complexion of the match was altered. Rushing net tactics bothered the champion badly, and his antagonist ran the first five games off the reel in rapid fashion, winning a substantial lead, that ultimately gave him the third set. In the fourth, however, the champion won by 7-5, after a close struggle up to 5-all.

The championship doubles were rather one-sided. Davis and Ward are easily the strongest pair in the country, and their loss of the first set to Allen and Hackett in the East vs. West match was due more to over-confidence and carelessness than inferior skill. After that the result was never in doubt, while in the challenge match, the lack of practice of the holders left them little chance for a victory, and they were unable to win a set.

The full scores of the championship meeting follow:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—R. Stevens beat D. Miller, by default; R. P. Huntington beat R. McKittrick, 6-2, 8-6, 6-4; W. S. Bond beat W. J. Clothier, 6-3, 4-6, 6-4, 6-4; J. S. Bridges beat Payne Whitney, by default; B. C. Wright beat G. P. Sheldon, Jr., by default; R. D. Little beat F. Huntington, by default.

First Round.—R. P. Huntington beat Little, 6-4, 8-6, 6-4; Bond beat Bridges, 6-1, 6-2, 6-0; N. H. Mundy beat J. A. Allen, by default; H. Ward beat C. R. Budlong, 6-4, 6-4, 4-6, 6-2; A. Codman beat E. A. Freshman, 6-2, 6-4, 6-3; L. E. Ware beat N. McLeod, by default; J. P. Paret beat M. G. Chace, 3-6, 10-8, 6-0, 6-3; H. E. Avery beat W. A. Larned, by default; D. F. Davis beat H. G. Whitehead, 6-2, 6-4, 6-0; G. L. Wrenn, Jr., beat E. P. Fischer, 5-7, 8-6, 7-5, 6-2; J. S. Taylor beat J. K. Willing, 5-7, 8-6, 6-3, 3-6, 6-2; K. Collins beat T. Sturgis, Jr., 6-3, 6-1, 6-1; W. C. Grant beat E. Stillé, by default; Stevens beat H. W. Stiness, by default; Wright beat L. G. Grant, by default; C. W. Beard beat D. S. Ricker, 6-2, 6-2, 8-6.

Second Round.—Ware beat Codman, 9-7, 6-3, 6-3; Wrenn beat Taylor, 6-2, 6-3, 6-3; Collins beat Grant, 6-1, 6-1, 6-2; Huntington beat Stevens, 2-6, 3-6, 6-3, 6-3, 6-1; Bond beat Wright, 4-6, 6-4, 7-5, 4-6, 6-3; Paret beat Avery, 6-2, 6-3, 6-3; Ward beat Mundy, 4-6, 10-8, 6-2, 6-0; Davis beat Beard, 6-2, 6-0, 6-1.

Third Round.—Ware beat Ward, 3-6, 6-4, 9-11, 6-2, 6-4; Paret beat Huntington, 3-6, 6-3, 4-6, 6-4, 6-0; Davis beat Bond, 6-4, 6-4, 1-6, 6-4; Collins beat Wrenn, 8-6, 4-6, 4-6, 6-4, 6-3.

Semi-Final Round.—Davis beat Collins, 6-4, 6-1, 8-6; Paret beat Ware, 7-5, 6-2, 6-4.

Final Round.—Paret beat Davis, 7-5, 8-10, 6-3, 2-6, 6-3.

Championship Round.—M. D. Whitman (holder) beat J. P. Paret (challenger), 6-1, 6-2, 3-6, 7-5.

DOUBLES.

East vs. West.—H. Ward and D. F. Davis (East) beat H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen (West), 3-6, 6-3, 6-3, 6-2.

Challenge Round.—H. Ward and D. F. Davis (challengers) beat L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr. (holders), 6-4, 6-4, 6-3.

CONSOLATION SINGLES.

First Round.—R. D. Little beat R. McKittrick, 6-2, 6-0; H. E. Avery beat L. G. Grant, 6-2, 6-1; E. A. Freshman beat H. G. Whitehead, by default; T. Sturgis, Jr., beat J. K. Willing, 6-4, 6-2; J. S. Bridges beat D. S. Ricker, 6-3, 4-6, 6-4; E. P. Fischer beat M. G. Chace, by default; W. J. Clothier beat W. C. Grant, by default; C. R. Budlong beat N. H. Mundy, 6-1, 6-4.

Second Round.—Little beat Avery, 6-3, 6-3; Budlong beat Freshman, 6-1, 6-0; Sturgis beat Bridges, 6-1, 4-6, 6-2; Fischer beat Clothier, 3-6, 6-4, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Fischer beat Sturgis, 6-2, 6-1; Little beat Budlong, 6-3, 10-8.

Final Round.—Fischer beat Little, 6-3, 6-0.

THE WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIPS.

The annual championship for the women's championships of the United States, was held as usual at Wissahickon, near Philadelphia, beginning June 21. The feature of the meeting was the presence of Miss Marion Jones, a daughter of the U. S. Senator from California; Miss Myrtle McAteer, of Pittsburg; Miss Carrie Neely, of Chicago, and a number of other strong visiting players. Miss Jones carried off first honors in the singles without the loss of a single set, and as Miss Juliette Atkinson, of Brooklyn, the former champion, did not appear to defend her title, the Western champion took it by default. In the doubles, Miss McAteer and Miss Jennie Craven, of Chicago, carried off the championship, beating a team of Philadelphians, Miss Edith Rastall and Miss A. L. Hoskins, in the finals.

The full scores of the tournament follow:

WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—Miss Maud Banks (Philadelphia C. C.) beat Miss E. J. Rastall (Belmont C. C.), 6-2, 6-3; Miss Myrtle McAteer (Pittsburg) beat Miss D. H. Rastall (Belmont C. C.), 6-0, 6-4; Miss C. B. Neely (Kenwood Club) beat Miss Ethel Steel (Philadelphia C. C.), 6-0, 6-0; Miss Georgiana Jones (California) beat Miss Rachel Harlan (Belmont C. C.), by default; Miss Marion Jones (California) beat Miss R. H. Lycett (Merion C. C.), 6-1, 6-4; Miss Hallie Champlin (Kenwood Club) beat Miss Helen Huey (Belmont C. C.), 7-5, 6-2; Miss Edith Parker (Kenwood Club) beat Miss Helen Chapman, 6-0, 10-8.

First Round.—Miss Banks beat Miss McAteer, 3-6, 7-5, 7-5; Miss Neely beat Miss G. Jones, 6-0, 6-2; Miss M. Jones beat Miss Champlin, 6-1, 6-2; Miss J. W. Craven (Kenwood Club) beat Miss Parker, 7-5, 3-6, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Miss Banks beat Miss Neely, 6-3, 4-6, 6-1; Miss M. Jones beat Miss Craven, 6-1, 6-0.

Final Round.—Miss M. Jones beat Miss Banks, 6-1, 6-1, 7-5.

Championship Round.—Miss M. Jones (challenger) beat Miss J. Atkinson (holder), by default.

WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Miss Rastall and Miss Banks beat Miss Neely and Miss Marion Jones, 6-3, 6-1; Miss Craven and Miss McAteer beat Miss Lycett and Mrs. M. W. Stroud, 6-1, 6-4; Miss Champlin and Miss Parker beat Miss Steel and Miss Chapman, 6-2, 7-5.

Semi-Final Round.—Miss Rastall and Miss Banks beat Miss Shoemaker and Miss Georgiana Jones, 6-3, 6-0; Miss Craven and Miss McAteer beat Miss Chapman and Miss Parker, 6-2, 6-2.

Final Round.—Miss Craven and Miss McAteer beat Miss Rastall and Miss Banks, 6-1, 6-1, 7-5.

MIXED DOUBLES.

First Round.—Miss Rastall and A. L. Hoskins (Belmont C. C.) beat Miss Cookman and A. C. Fergusson, Jr. (Tulpohocken Field Club), 6-1, 6-1; Miss Craven and J. P. Gardner (Kenwood Club) beat Miss Steel and J. P. Hood (Philadelphia C. C.), 6-1, 6-1.

Final Round.—Miss Rastall and Mr. Hoskins beat Miss Craven and Mr. Gardner, 6-4, 6-0, default.



PREVIOUS NATIONAL CHAMPIONS



MEN'S SINGLES.

1881—R. D. Sears.	1890—O. S. Campbell.
1882—R. D. Sears.	1891—O. S. Campbell.
1883—R. D. Sears.	1892—O. S. Campbell.
1884—R. D. Sears.	1893—R. D. Wrenn.
1885—R. D. Sears.	1894—R. D. Wrenn.
1886—R. D. Sears.	1895—F. H. Hovey.
1887—R. D. Sears.	1896—R. D. Wrenn.
1888—H. W. Slocum.	1897—R. D. Wrenn.
1889—H. W. Slocum.	1898—M. D. Whitman.

MEN'S DOUBLES.

1881—C. M. Clark and F. W. Taylor.
1882—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1883—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1884—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1885—R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark.
1886—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1887—R. D. Sears and James Dwight.
1888—O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.
1889—H. W. Slocum and H. A. Taylor.
1890—V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.
1891—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1892—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1893—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey.
1894—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey.
1895—M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn.
1896—C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.
1897—L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr.
1898—L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr.

WOMEN'S SINGLES.

1887—Miss Alice Hansell.
1888—Miss Bertha Townsend.

- 1889—Miss Bertha Townsend.
- 1890—Miss Ellen C. Roosevelt.
- 1891—Miss Mabel E. Cahill.
- 1892—Miss Mabel E. Cahill.
- 1893—Miss Aline M. Terry.
- 1894—Miss Helena R. Hellwig.
- 1895—Miss Juliette P. Atkinson.
- 1896—Miss Bessie Moore.
- 1897—Miss Juliette P. Atkinson.
- 1898—Miss Juliette P. Atkinson.

WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

- 1890—Miss E. C. Roosevelt and Miss G. W. Roosevelt.
- 1891—Miss M. E. Cahill and Mrs. W. F. Morgan.
- 1892—Miss M. E. Cahill and Miss A. M. McKinley.
- 1893—Miss A. M. Terry and Miss Hattie Butler.
- 1894—Miss H. R. Hellwig and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1895—Miss H. R. Hellwig and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1896—Miss Bessie Moore and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1897—Miss Juliette P. Atkinson and Miss Kathleen G. Atkinson.
- 1898—Miss Juliette P. Atkinson and Miss Kathleen G. Atkinson.

MIXED DOUBLES.

- 1892—Clarence Hobart and Miss M. E. Cahill.
- 1893—Clarence Hobart and Miss E. C. Roosevelt.
- 1894—E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1895—E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1896—E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1897—D. L. Magruder and Miss Laura Henson.
- 1898—E. P. Fischer and Miss Carrie Neely.



SECTIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP EVENTS



THE LONGWOOD TOURNAMENT.

The annual tournament for the championship of the East in doubles, and the Longwood challenge cup in singles, was held at the Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, beginning July 24. There were forty-eight entries in the singles and nineteen teams in the doubles, including all of the strongest players in the Eastern section of the country. R. P. Huntington, the Yale veteran, made his second appearance of the season at this meeting, but could not make any headway against the terrific speed of Davis in the preliminary round.

The first surprise of the tournament came in the defeat of L. E. Ware in the second round by J. P. Paret, the score being three sets to one in favor of the New Yorker. Paret, however, was unable to get a set from Davis in the third round, while it took George Wrenn five sets to beat Holcombe Ward. In the semi-finals Davis beat Wrenn in a clever four-set match, while Larned found a stumbling-block in Beals Wright, just as he had in Ralph McKittrick two rounds before. Although the sets were all close in each of these matches, the brilliant New Yorker came out on top each time.

The final match in the singles was very sensational. Larned took the first two sets from Davis in commanding style, and with a lead on the third lost all his accuracy. Davis improved with every game, and won that and the next two sets in quick order, taking the match. In the championship round for the Longwood challenge cup, however, he played without much confidence against Champion Whitman, and was beaten in straight sets.

The Eastern championship doubles brought out a strong field, including many of the veteran experts. Two ex-champion teams, O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington and M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn, were entered, as well as ex-champion Hovey. Chace and Wrenn, however, were unable to play, and Hovey defaulted

after playing one match. The chief interest centered in the reappearance of Campbell and Huntington. In a close match in the second round against Alfred Codman and E. R. Marvin, they won after five sets of play, but in the semi-finals, against B. C. Wright and C. R. Budlong, Campbell fell and wrenched his knee so badly in the fifth set that it was impossible for him to finish out the match. In the finals Davis and Ward scored an easy victory in straight sets over Wright and Budlong.

The handicap singles brought out an unusually large field, and in the finals R. D. Little (scratch) and B. C. Wright (owe 15) came together, the Princeton champion winning in straight sets. Paret and Davidson won the consolation doubles.

The full scores of the tournament were as follows:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—E. R. Marvin beat H. H. Shaw, 6-3, 6-4, 6-3; P. E. Presbrey beat A. R. Thatcher, 6-1, 6-1, 6-1; D. F. Davis beat R. P. Huntington, 6-3, 6-3, 6-4; Storer Ware beat A. C. McMaster, 6-1, 2-6, 6-2, 6-1; L. E. Ware beat A. B. Hitchcock, 6-0, 6-0, 6-1; F. B. Winslow beat R. G. Fessenden, 4-6, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4; J. P. Paret beat Alfred Codman, 1-6, 6-3, 6-4, 6-1; J. B. Read beat B. F. Merrill, 12-10, 6-1, 6-1; Julian Codman beat W. D. Dexter, 2-6, 6-1, 6-3, 3-6, 9-7; Kenneth Horton beat W. E. Putnam, Jr., 6-3, 6-3, 6-3; A. S. Pier beat C. B. Wilbar, 1-6, 6-1, 6-1, 6-3; G. B. Wilson beat E. G. Thatcher, 6-3, 6-3, 9-7; E. P. Larned beat E. N. Wrightington, 7-5, 6-2, 6-4; R. McKittrick beat D. M. Belcher, 6-2, 6-2, 3-6, 6-4; W. A. Larned beat F. B. Whittemore, 6-1, 6-2, 6-1; C. R. Budlong beat E. A. Stroud, 6-0, 6-3, 6-2.

First Round.—Holcombe Ward beat Godfrey Cabot, 6-3, 6-3, 6-2; A. P. Hawes beat L. J. Grant, 6-0, 6-0, 6-1; G. L. Wrenn, Jr., beat W. S. Bond, 6-4, 6-4, 6-0; J. C. Davidson beat W. C. Grant, 6-0, 6-3, 5-7, 6-2; Marvin beat Presbrey, 6-3, 6-0, 8-6; Davis beat Storer Ware, 6-1, 6-4, 6-1; L. E. Ware beat Winslow, 6-4, 6-2, 6-3; Paret beat Read, 6-1, 6-3, 6-4; Horton beat Julian Codman, 6-3, 5-7, 6-2, 6-3; Pier beat Wilson, by default; McKittrick beat E. P. Larned, 6-3, 5-7, 8-6, 6-3; W. A. Larned beat Budlong, 6-3, 6-4, 6-3; G. F. Eames beat E. H. Wilkins, 7-5, 6-2, 6-4; B. C. Wright beat G. F. Brown, Jr., 6-4, 6-3, 6-4; F. Bradley beat W. J. Clothier, 4-6, 8-6, 6-4, 6-4; J. R. Carpenter, Jr., beat J. S. Morris, 6-3, 12-14, 6-3, 6-4.

Second Round.—Ward beat Hawes, 6-0, 6-0, 8-6; G. L. Wrenn, Jr., beat Davidson, 6-4, 3-6, 7-5, 6-1; Davis beat Marvin, 6-3, 8-6, 6-1; Paret beat L. E. Ware, 9-7, 6-2, 3-6, 6-4; Horton beat Pier, 2-6, 6-3, 7-5, 8-6; W. A. Larned beat McKittrick, 10-8, 10-8, 6-2; Wright beat Eames, 6-3, 6-2, 7-5; Carpenter beat Bradley, 6-4, 9-7, 6-4, 6-1.

Third Round.—G. L. Wrenn, Jr., beat Ward, 6-4, 6-2, 4-6, 4-6, 6-1; Davis beat Paret, 6-2, 6-1, 6-3; W. A. Larned beat Horton, 6-1, 6-1, 6-3; Wright beat Carpenter, 6-2, 9-7, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Davis beat G. L. Wrenn, Jr., 6-3, 6-4, 6-8, 6-4; W. A. Larned beat Wright, 6-4, 11-9, 6-4.

Final Round.—Davis beat W. A. Larned, 3-6, 4-6, 6-4, 6-2, 6-1.

Championship Round.—M. D. Whitman (holder) beat D. F. Davis (challenger), 6-1, 6-4, 7-5.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—McKittrick and S. Ware beat Putnam and Wrightington, 6-2, 6-2, 2-6, 3-6, 6-2; Hovey and Winslow beat Merrill and Hitchcock, 6-0, 6-4, 6-3; Codman and Marvin beat W. C. Grant and A. C. McMaster, by default.

First Round.—Whitman and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., beat Stroud and Morris, 6-2, 6-0, 6-0; Read and Pier beat Horton and Presbrey, 7-5, 6-3, 6-0; Ward and Davis beat Hawes and Little, 6-2, 7-5, 7-5; McKittrick and S. Ware beat Hovey and Winslow, by default; Codman and Marvin beat Clothier and Carpenter, 6-3, 6-4, 6-4; Campbell and Huntington beat Paret and Davidson, 6-1, 6-4, 6-4; Larned Brothers beat Seaver and Fuller, 6-4, 6-0, 6-2; Budlong and Wright beat M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn, by default.

Second Round.—Whitman and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., beat Read and Piper, 6-4, 6-3, 6-1; Ward and Davis beat McKittrick and S. Ware, 6-2, 6-1, 6-2; Campbell and Huntington beat Codman and Marvin, 8-10, 7-5, 6-4, 5-7, 8-6; Budlong and Wright beat Larned Brothers, 3-6, 6-3, 6-4, 5-7, 7-5.

Semi-Final Round.—Ward and Davis beat Whitman and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., 6-2, 8-6, 6-2; Budlong and Wright beat Campbell and Huntington, 3-6, 2-6, 7-5, 6-1, 4-1, default.

Final Round.—Ward and Davis beat Budlong and Wright, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

HANDICAP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—F. B. Whittemore (15) beat J. Codman (3-6 15), by default; J. Shea (30) beat R. P. Huntington (owe 15), by default; W. J. Clothier (scratch) beat G. F. Eames (3-6 15), 6-2, 6-3; E. N. Wrightington (15) beat F. Bradley (scratch), 6-3, 5-7, 6-3; E. R. Marvin (owe 15) beat J. B. Read (scratch), by default; A. F. Fuller (3-6 15) beat J. P. Paret (owe 15), 6-1, default; G. B. Wilson (15) beat B. A. Stroud (15), 6-1, 6-1; P. E. Presbrey (scratch) beat W. A. Larned (owe 30), by default; W. S. Bond (owe 15) beat Godfrey Cabot (15), 6-4, 6-2.

First Round.—R. C. Seaver (3-6 15) beat L. J. Grant (30), 3-6, 6-3, 6-0; J. C. Wright (15 plus 3-6) beat A. Codman (owe 15), by default; E. P. Larned (scratch) beat B. F. Merrill (15), 6-3, 9-7; R. McKittrick (scratch) beat H. Whitman (15 plus 3-6), 10-8, 6-2; R. D. Little (scratch) beat A. C. McMaster (15), 6-2, 5-7, 6-4; Whittemore (15) beat J. S. Morris (3-6 15), 6-3, 6-8, 8-6; Shea (30) beat Clothier (scratch), 6-3, 6-2; Wrightington (15)

beat Marvin (owe 15), by default; Fuller (3-6 15) beat Wilson (15), 6-2, 2-6, 6-1; Bond (owe 15) beat Presbrey (scratch), by default; B. C. Wright (owe 15) beat G. F. Brown, Jr. (3-6 15), by default; S. P. Ware (3-6 15) beat A. B. Hitchcock (15), 6-3, 6-2; J. R. Carpenter, Jr. (scratch) beat H. Ward (owe 15), by default; W. C. Grant (scratch) beat W. E. Putnam, Jr. (15), by default; K. Horton (scratch) beat C. B. Wilbar (3-6 15), 5-7, 6-3, 8-6; J. C. Davidson (owe 15) beat W. A. Hopkins (30), 6-8, 6-3, 6-0.

Second Round.—Seaver (3-6 15) beat J. C. Wright (15 plus 3-6), 4-6, 6-1, 7-5; E. P. Larned (scratch) beat McKittrick (scratch), 0-6, 6-2, 9-7; Little (scratch) beat Whittemore (15), 6-1, 6-1; Wrightington (15) beat Shea (30), 6-3, 6-0; Fuller (3-6 15) beat Bond (owe 15), 6-4, 6-0; B. C. Wright (owe 15) beat S. P. Ware (3-6 15), 7-5, 6-4; Carpenter (scratch) beat W. C. Grant (scratch), 7-9, 6-2, 6-2; Davidson (owe 15) beat Horton (scratch), 2-6, 6-0, 6-4.

Third Round.—Seaver (3-6 15) beat E. P. Larned (scratch), 6-2, 6-3; Little (scratch) beat Wrightington (15), 6-2, 3-6, 6-2; B. C. Wright (owe 15) beat Fuller (3-6 15), 6-4, 6-4; Carpenter (scratch) beat Davidson (owe 15), 6-4, 3-6, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Little (scratch) beat Seaver (3-6 15), 6-4, 2-6, 6-2; B. C. Wright (owe 15) beat Carpenter (scratch), by default.

Final Round.—Little (scratch) beat B. C. Wright (owe 15), 6-3, 6-3.

CONSOLATION DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Horton and Presbrey beat Putnam and Wrightington, by default.

First Round.—Hawes and Little beat Stroud and Morris, 6-3, 6-2; Clothier and Carpenter beat Horton and Presbrey, 6-3, 6-2; Seaver and Fuller won by default; Paret and Davidson beat Merrill and Hitchcock, 6-1, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Clothier and Carpenter beat Hawes and Little, 8-6, 10-8; Paret and Davidson beat Seaver and Fuller, 4-6, 6-1, 6-4.

Final Round.—Paret and Davidson beat Clothier and Carpenter, 1-6, 6-4, 6-0.

THE MIDDLE STATES CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the championship of the Middle States was held at the Orange Lawn Tennis Club, beginning July 3. The entry-list was the largest that has ever favored this meeting, and the quality of the play was exceptionally high. The first surprise of the tournament appeared in the play of G. H. Miles, who beat Holcomb Ward in the easiest kind of fashion, and then lost almost as easily to J. P. Paret, in the third round. J. A. Allen also beat S. C. Millett, while W. A. Larned beat D. F. Davis, after the most exciting match of the tournament. In the semi-final

round, Allen was outclassed by Larned, while Richard Stevens and J. P. Paret played a stubbornly-contested match which had a sensational ending. Paret won the first set and in the second, came within one stroke of winning the match at 5-3. Stevens, however, was very steady at the critical point, and finally won the last two sets by deuce scores. This match lasted so long and was physically so severe upon the players, that both were used up in consequence; Stevens was so badly exhausted that he was forbidden by his doctor to play the next day, and Larned won in the finals by default. His challenge match against Champion Whitman proved a big disappointment, however, for Whitman's safe play made it impossible for Larned to make many of his brilliant strokes and he was beaten by three sets to one.

In the doubles, Ward and Davis made their first appearance of the season, and beat the crack Yale pair, Hackett and Allen, who had previously won everything in sight, by three sets to one. The last set of this match was left unfinished at the end of the tournament because a thunderstorm interrupted the play, and the Yale men started for Chicago the next day. The match was finished at Longwood a few weeks later, however, and won then by the Harvard team.

The full scores of the Middle States matches were as follows:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—H. W. Hague beat R. W. Hills, 6-3, 6-3; W. A. Larned beat B. T. Badley, by default; J. Kearney beat R. D. Thurber, by default; R. M. Miles, Jr., beat H. Homans, 7-5, 6-4; F. B. Hague beat Beals C. Wright, by default; Dwight Davis beat C. E. Fennessy, 6-4, 6-2; W. B. Brooks, Jr., beat S. S. Tilney, 6-4, 6-2; J. P. Paret beat M. S. Clark, 7-5, 6-2; M. Ogden beat H. Little, 6-2, 6-1; Dr. Glazebrook beat L. J. Grant, 6-2, 6-1; G. H. Miles beat A. Codman, 6-0, 10-8; J. C. W. Brooks beat J. Bramhall, 6-3, 6-4.

First Round.—J. A. Allen beat J. D. Forbes, by default; H. E. Avery beat S. Franklyn, 6-3, 6-0; S. H. Gillespie beat I. Griffin, 8-6, 6-2; S. C. Millett beat R. D. Little, by default; E. P. Larned beat C. F. Watson, Jr., 6-3, 4-6, 12-10; W. A. Larned beat H. W. Hague, 6-1, 6-0; R. M. Miles, Jr., beat J. Kearney, 6-2, 6-4; Dwight Davis beat F. B. Hague, 6-1, 6-4; J. P. Paret beat W. B. Brooks, Jr., 6-1, 6-3; Dr. Glazebrook beat M. Ogden, 7-9, 6-2, 6-4; G. H. Miles beat J. C. W. Brooks, 6-3, 6-2; H. Ward beat T. Souther, 6-1, 6-1; W. J. Clothier beat H. V. Ryder, 6-0, 6-1; W. C.

Grant beat R. McKitterick, by default; R. Stevens beat W. R. Miles, 6-2, 6-3; F. I. Linen beat W. Kyle, 9-7, 6-2.

Second Round.—Allen beat Avery, 5-7, 6-2, 6-1; Millett beat Gillespie, 6-3, 6-3; W. A. Larned beat E. P. Larned, 6-3, 7-5; Davis beat R. M. Miles, Jr., 7-5, 7-5; Paret beat Glazebrook, 6-0, 6-3; G. H. Miles beat Ward, 6-1, 6-2; Clothier beat W. C. Grant, 6-0, 6-2; Stevens beat Linen, 6-2, 6-1.

Third Round.—Allen beat Millett, 6-4, 6-2; W. A. Larned beat Davis, 11-13, 7-5, 6-3; Paret beat G. H. Miles, 6-3, 6-2; Stevens beat Clothier, 6-3, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Larned beat Allen, 6-3, 6-2; Stevens beat Paret, 4-6, 7-5, 9-7.

Final Round.—Larned beat Stevens, by default.

Championship Round.—M. D. Whitman (holder) beat W. A. Larned (challenger), 6-1, 4-6, 6-4, 6-2.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Glazebrook and Hills beat H. W. Hague and G. H. Wilson, 7-5, 6-2; Larned Brothers beat Linen and H. Little, 6-0, 7-5; Ward and Davis beat L. J. Grant and Kearney, 6-2, 6-0; Clothier and R. D. Little beat Thurber and Avery, 6-1, 9-7.

First Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Brooks Brothers, 6-0, 6-1; Larned Brothers beat Glazebrook and Hills, 8-6, 6-2; Ward and Davis beat Clothier and Little, 6-1, 6-4; G. H. Miles and Millett beat W. C. Grant and Clark, 6-3, 6-3.

Semi-Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Larned Brothers, 2-6, 6-4, 6-1; Ward and Davis beat Miles and Millett, 6-2, 6-2.

Final Round.—Ward and Davis beat Hackett and Allen, 6-4, 6-4, 2-6, 6-2.

THE WESTERN CHAMPIONSHIPS.

The annual open tournament for the championship of the West in both singles and doubles, was held on the grounds of the Kenwood Country Club, Chicago, beginning July 10. The entry-list was the longest that has ever been received for a Western tournament, there being no less than 67 entries in the singles and 24 teams in the doubles. This number included H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen, two Yale experts from the East, as well as Carr B. Neel, his brother Sam R. Neel, and many other leading players in the Middle West. Despite his lack of practice, Carr Neel, the veteran of so many successful campaigns, won first honors in the tournament, beating Hackett and Allen in the semi-final and final rounds. Allen made the best showing of the Easterners, and not only beat Sam Neel in the semi-final round, but also played a good

match against the older brother in the finals, and won one set out of the four played. In the challenge round Neel beat Kreigh Collins, the previous holder of the Western championship, in straight sets, and as he had won the trophy twice before, it became his personal property.

The Western championship doubles, the winners of which always have the right to play at Newport for the National championship, furnished some exciting play. The struggle narrowed down quickly to Hackett and Allen, the Eastern pair, and Bond and Collins, the strongest of the Western teams. These two pairs met in the semi-final round, and the Easterners won in four sets. They had an easy task in the finals, beating Waidner and Myers in straight sets, and thus earned the right to play at Newport later in the season.

The scores of the tournament follow :

CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—P. D. MacQuiston beat F. S. Weadley, 6-1, 6-0; J. C. Neely beat H. E. Patten, 6-2, 6-2; B. McKeever beat G. Pierce, 6-2, 6-1.

First Round.—P. P. Bruce beat L. L. Bailey, 6-0, 7-5; M. McConnell beat H. Belfield, 4-6, 6-1, 13-11; J. A. Roach beat W. H. Burkett, 7-5, 4-6, 7-5; W. L. Myers beat W. McKenzie, 8-6, 9-7; C. S. Peters beat J. Hammond, 6-3, 7-5; E. R. Carter beat E. M. Paulson, 6-3, 6-0; C. B. Neel beat P. G. Beach, 6-1, 6-0; G. B. Street beat A. F. Pierce, 4-6, 6-2, 6-1; N. H. Mundy beat E. M. Barnes, 6-0, 6-0; Neely beat MacQuiston, 6-5, 6-3; S. R. Neel beat McKeever, 6-2, 6-4; H. N. Gottlieb beat W. C. Snow, 6-1, 6-2; W. L. Marshall beat W. H. Torrey, 3-6, 6-3, 8-8; E. M. Ashcraft beat S. P. Brightly, 6-3, 6-1; W. S. Bond beat N. W. LeValley, 6-1, 6-1; H. W. Bingham beat Dr. Bridges, 6-3, 6-4; E. A. Spencer beat W. J. Phalen, 7-5, 6-2; L. H. Waidner beat A. O. Ley, 6-0, 6-0; R. W. Condee beat P. H. Davenport, 9-7, 6-2; J. Blockwelder beat Professor Fox, 6-1, 6-1; J. E. Strasburg beat C. U. Beach, 6-3, 6-4; C. W. Seabury beat A. C. Noble, 6-1, 4-6, 6-3; C. N. Beard beat F. C. Earnest, 6-0, 6-3; H. H. Hackett beat G. A. West, 6-1, 6-2; L. Oldberg beat A. M. Vernon, 1-6, 6-2, 6-3; J. C. Magee beat P. H. Wilbrenner, 6-2, 6-0; J. W. Moulding beat H. Belden, by default; J. A. Allen beat A. C. Snow, 6-4, 6-4.

Second Round.—Hackett beat Bruce, 6-1, 6-1; H. MacQuiston beat McConnell, 7-5, 6-4; Brooks beat Swezy, 6-2, 6-2; Norris beat Roche, 6-3, 7-5; Myers beat Peters, 6-4, 6-1; Carter beat Oldberg, 4-6, 6-3, 6-0; C. Neel beat Street, 6-2, 6-4; Neely beat Mundy, 5-7, 6-2, 6-0; S. R. Neel beat Gottlieb, 6-2, 6-3; Ashcraft beat Marshall, 9-7, 6-0; Bond beat Magee, 6-2, 7-5; Spen-

cer beat Bingham, 6-3, 6-2; Waidner beat Condee, 6-1, 6-1; Strasburg beat Blackwelder, 6-4, 5-7, 6-1; Seabury beat Moulding, 6-2, 7-5; Allen beat Beard, 6-1, 6-0.

Third Round.—Norris beat Brooks, 6-3, 6-0; Hackett beat MacQuiston, 6-0, 6-4; Myers beat Carter, 6-4, 6-3; C. B. Neel beat Neely, 6-2, 6-1; S. R. Neel beat Ashcraft, 6-3, 6-3; Bond beat Spencer, 6-2, 6-3; Waidner beat Strasburg, 6-1, 6-0; Allen beat Seabury, 6-2, 6-4.

Fourth Round.—Hackett beat Norris, 6-0, 8-6; C. B. Neel beat Myers, 6-4, 4-6, 6-3; S. R. Neel beat Bond, 6-3, 7-5; Allen beat Waidner, 7-5, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—C. B. Neel beat Hackett, 6-1, 6-2; Allen beat S. R. Neel, 6-4, 3-6, 6-1.

Final Round.—C. B. Neel beat Allen, 6-4, 6-4, 2-6, 6-3.

Championship Round.—C. B. Neel (challenger) beat Kreigh Collins (holder), 6-4, 6-3, 6-3.

WESTERN CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Patten and McConnell beat Magee and Baker, 6-1, 4-6, 7-5, 6-2; LeValley and Kellogg beat Leland and Elliott, 4-6, 7-5, 6-0, 9-7; Street and Carter beat Seabury and McKenzie, 6-2, 6-4, 6-4; Bond and Collins beat Lee and partner, by default; Mundy and McKeever beat Torrey and Bruce, 6-1, 7-5, 6-3; Condee and Paulson beat Fox and Judd, 6-3, 6-0, 6-4; MacQuiston Brothers beat Burkett and Moulding, 6-2, 2-6, 6-4, 7-5; Peters and Bailey beat Snow and Hale, 2-6, 9-7, 6-2, 6-1; Waidner and Myers beat Pierce and Beach, 6-2, 6-3, 6-1.

First Round.—Hackett and Allen beat LeValley and Kellogg, 6-2, 6-2, 6-1; Bond and Collins beat Street and Carter, 6-3, 6-3, 9-7; Mundy and McKeever beat Condee and Paulson, 6-4, 6-2, 3-6, 6-3; MacQuiston Brothers beat Peters and Bailey, 6-0, 6-2, 6-3; Neely and Roche beat Patten and McConnell, 6-3, 9-7, 6-4; Pierce and Snow beat Vernon and Davenport, by default.


Second Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Bridges and Fox, 6-0, 6-0, 6-0; Bond and Collins beat Mundy and McKeever, 6-1, 6-3, 6-3; Waidner and Myers beat Pierce and Snow, 6-2, 6-1, 6-3; MacQuiston Brothers beat Neely and Roche, 6-4, 6-4, 6-4.

Semi-Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Bond and Collins, 4-6, 6-3, 6-1, 6-2; Waidner and Myers beat MacQuiston Brothers, 6-1, 9-7, 7-5.

Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Waidner and Myers, 6-2, 6-3, 6-2.

THE WESTERN CHAMPIONSHIP FOR WOMEN.

The fifth annual tournament for the women's championship of the West was held at the Kenwood Country Club, Chicago, beginning Aug. 28. A new star appeared in the tennis firmament in this event in the person of Miss Myrtle McAteer, of Pittsburg,



J. PARMLY PARET
WINNER OF ALL-COMERS', NEWPORT, 1899.

who had not shown remarkable form at Wissahickon in June. In the Chicago tournament, Miss McAteer won first honors, after she had been within one stroke of being beaten in the semi-final round by Miss Edythe Parker, of Chicago. In the challenge round, however, Miss McAteer was beaten by Miss Juliette Atkinson, of Brooklyn, the previous holder of the challenge cup, the score being three sets to one in favor of the little Brooklyn champion. The doubles were won by Miss Carrie Neely, of Chicago, and Miss Maud Banks, of Philadelphia.

The scores follow :

CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

First Round.—Miss Myrtle McAteer beat Miss Adele Price, by default; Miss Maud Pennington beat Miss Abbie Fuller, 6-2, 7-5; Miss Mary Perine beat Miss Clara Weed, 7-5, 4-6, 6-1; Miss Edythe Parker beat Miss Sadie Ruger, 9-7, 6-3; Miss Carrie B. Neely beat Miss Charlotte Reynell, 6-0; Miss Mabel Lee beat Miss Margaret Isgrig, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Maud Banks beat Miss Polly Fales, 6-3, 6-3; Miss Hallie Champlin beat Miss Eleanor DeLany, 6-1, 6-1.

Second Round.—Miss McAteer beat Miss Pennington, 6-2, 6-0; Miss Parker beat Miss Perine, 6-1, 6-3; Miss Neely beat Miss Lee, 6-1, 6-3; Miss Banks beat Miss Champlin, 6-1, 6-4.

Semi-Final Round.—Miss McAteer beat Miss Parker, 4-6, 6-4, 7-5; Miss Neely beat Miss Banks, 6-1, 6-1.

Final Round.—Miss McAteer beat Miss Neely, 6-3, 6-4, 6-3.

Championship Round.—Miss Juliette Atkinson (holder) beat Miss McAteer, 5-7, 10-8, 6-4, 6-2.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

First Round.—Misses Parker and Champlin beat Misses Reynell and Steele, 7-5, 6-2; Misses Neely and Banks beat Misses Price and Isgrig, by default; Misses Atkinson and Craven beat Misses DeLany and Fuller, 6-2, 6-2; Misses McAteer and Neele beat Misses Pennington and Lee, 6-0, 6-2.

Second Round.—Misses Neely and Banks beat Misses Parker and Champlin, 6-4, 1-6, 6-0; Misses McAteer and Neele beat Misses Atkinson and Craven, 6-2, 6-3.

Final Round.—Misses Neely and Banks beat Misses McAteer and Neele, 6-1, 6-2, 1-6, 6-2.

THE PACIFIC COAST CHAMPIONSHIP.

George F. Whitney, of San Francisco, won back his title of champion of the Pacific Coast, in the annual tournament at San

Rafael, California, beginning July 3. With the withdrawal of Robert Whitney, the championship rested between George Whitney and the two Hardy brothers, all of the other players being outclassed by these experts. Whitney beat Samuel Hardy in the semi-final round by three straight sets, and won the finals by default from Paul Selby. In the challenge round, Whitney beat Sumner Hardy, the previous holder of the title, by three sets to one. Hardy became rather exhausted toward the end, and Whitney had an easy task after the first two sets. Whitney's success gave him a second "leg" on the big challenge cup representing the Pacific Coast championship. The record now stands as follows: 1894, Samuel Hardy; 1895, Sumner Hardy; 1896, Samuel Hardy; 1897, George Whitney; 1898, Sumner Hardy; 1899, George Whitney. It will be seen from this that Whitney and each of the Hardy brothers have won two "legs" on the trophy, and another victory for any one of the three this year will give him the valuable cup as his personal property.

The scores of last year's tournament follow:

Preliminary Round.—Merle Johnson beat B. Henley, Jr., 6-3, 5-7, 6-3, 6-1; Harry Weike beat R. Nicholson, by default.

First Round.—Grant Smith beat W. C. Allen, 2-6, 6-3, 7-5, 6-4; J. W. Phillips beat J. J. Crooks, 6-1, 6-0, 6-1; H. C. Routh beat G. H. Powers, 7-5, 6-4, 6-1; Paul Selby beat Johnson, 6-3, 6-0, 6-8, 6-2; Weike beat C. B. Root, 6-2, 6-4, 6-3; George F. Whitney beat George S. Bradshaw, 6-1, 8-6, 6-1; Harry Dibble beat J. A. Code, 6-4, 4-6, 6-4, 6-4; Samuel Hardy beat R. S. Hunt, 6-4, 6-6, 6-2, 7-5.

Second Round.—Smith beat Phillips, 3-6, 6-2, 6-2, 6-4; Selby beat Routh, 6-3, 6-8, 6-3, 8-6; Whitney beat Weike, 7-5, 6-3, 6-3; Hardy beat Dibble, by default.

Semi-Final Round.—Selby beat Smith, 2-6, 4-6, 6-3, 6-2, 6-1; Whitney beat Hardy, 6-4, 6-1, 6-3.

Final Round.—Whitney beat Selby, by default.

Championship Round.—George F. Whitney (challenger) beat Sumner Hardy (holder), 4-6, 6-4, 6-1, 6-1.

THE SOUTHERN CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the Southern championship at Washington, beginning May 16, was as usual the first open outdoor meeting of the season. As in both of the two previous years, this

event furnished a duel between J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret. The latter went through the tournament without having to play a close set, but was beaten by Davidson in the challenge round for the championship by 6-1, 8-6, 8-6. Paret was within a stroke of each of the last two sets, but Davidson's hard hitting and accuracy in placing made it impossible each time for him to win the last point necessary. The record for this cup now shows two victories for Davidson and one for Paret.

In the doubles, Davidson and Paret had another easy victory, losing only one set during the tournament, and that in the semi-finals to Grant and Cragin. This was the fourth successive victory for Davidson and Paret, who have lost only two sets in these four years.

The scores of the tournament follow:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—H. C. Coburn beat L. J. Grant, 8-6, 6-4; F. Geoghegan beat E. E. Whiting, 6-0, 6-1; C. R. Wilson beat M. Thompson, 6-2, 6-8, 6-2; L. W. Glazebrook beat W. H. Huidekoper, 6-0, 6-1.

First Round.—W. C. Grant beat N. T. Wilson, 7-5, 7-9; C. Tobin beat D. Elkins, 7-5, 7-5; F. P. Warfield beat F. L. O. Wadsworth, 7-6, 8-6; Geoghegan beat Coburn, 6-3, 6-4; Glazebrook beat C. R. Wilson, 6-3, 6-1; C. Cragin beat H. G. Ogden, 6-3, 6-1; J. P. Paret beat H. P. S. Coke, 6-3, 6-3; R. C. Hills beat R. B. Johnson, 6-1, 6-3.

Second Round.—N. T. Wilson beat Tobin, 6-3, 7-5; Geoghegan beat Warfield, 6-1, 8-6; Cragin beat Glazebrook, 1-6, 6-3, 6-3; Paret beat Hills, 6-1, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—N. T. Wilson beat Geoghegan, 6-8, 6-4, 7-5; Paret beat Cragin, 6-2, 6-2.

Final Round.—Paret beat N. T. Wilson, 6-2, 6-3, 6-0.

Challenge Round.—J. C. Davidson (holder) beat J. P. Paret (challenger), 6-1, 8-6, 8-6.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—L. W. Glazebrook and F. L. O. Wadsworth beat R. B. Johnson and J. Gregg, 6-0, 3-6, 6-2; F. P. Warfield and R. C. Hills beat M. Thompson and H. G. Ogden, 6-4, 3-6, 6-4; W. C. Grant and C. Cragin beat C. R. Wilson and N. T. Wilson, 6-1, 6-2; J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret beat L. J. Grant and W. H. Huidekoper, 6-1, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Glazebrook and Wadsworth beat Warfield and Hills, 12-10, 6-3; Davidson and Paret beat Grant and Cragin, 6-2, 3-6, 6-0.

Final Round.—Davidson and Paret beat Glazebrook and Wadsworth, 6-1, 6-1, 6-2.

HANDICAP SINGLES.

Final Round.—L. W. Glazebrook beat C. Cragin, 6-0, 3-6, 6-8, 6-0, 6-1.

THE METROPOLITAN CHAMPIONSHIP.

The third annual tournament for the Metropolitan championship was held at the West Side Tennis Club in New York, beginning June 26. The entry-list was even stronger than at the Lenox tournament the week before, and there were no less than 24 entries in the scratch singles, and even a larger number in the handicap event. Among those entered was S. C. Millett, the former holder of the Metropolitan championship, and his defeat by J. P. Paret in the second round caused considerable surprise. In the finals Paret met J. A. Allen, the champion of Yale, who had beaten him the week before at the Lenox Club, and turned the tables, by winning after an exciting five-set match, in which Allen came within a single stroke of victory. In the challenge match against Fischer, the former holder of the title, Paret had all the best of the play up to the end of the second set, when Fischer took command and won out in good style.

The doubles proved another easy victory for the champion Yale pair, Hackett and Allen, while in the handicap singles O. M. Bostwick, one of the strongest players of the club, won first prize from Dr. H. H. Heywood in the finals.

The full scores were as follows:

SCRATCH SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—S. H. Gillespie (M. F. C.) beat F. V. Walsh (Lenox T. C.), 9-11, 6-4, 9-7; Stephen C. Millett (W. S. T. C.) beat Milton Ruggles (Orange T. C.), 6-2, 6-3; Harry E. Avery (W. S. T. C.) beat C. M. Relyea (N. Y. A. C.), 6-1, 6-3; J. Parmly Paret (Lenox T. C.) beat E. Taylor Buckingham (Bridgeport, Conn.), 6-1, 6-3; M. Ogden (Orange T. C.), beat Calhoun Cragin (W. S. T. C.), by default; Hugh Tallant (W. S. T. C.) beat M. S. Clark (Lenox T. C.), 6-3, 7-9, 6-2; J. A. Allen (W. S. T. C.) beat J. B. Wing (W. S. T. C.), 6-2, 6-3; R. D. Little (W. S. T. C.) beat R. Hickox (W. S. T. C.), 6-2, 6-1.

First Round.—C. E. Fennessy (W. S. T. C.) beat G. B. Hussey (Orange T. C.), 1-6, 7-5, 6-0; O. M. Bostwick (W. S. T. C.) beat F. L. O. Wadsworth (Washington, D. C.), 6-3, 6-0; Millett beat Gillespie, 6-3, 6-4; Paret beat Avery, 6-0, 6-1; Tallant beat Ogden, 6-1, 6-4; Allen beat Little, 6-3,

8-6; W. B. Cragin, Jr. (W. S. T. C.) beat A. L. Tailer (W. S. T. C.), 5-7, 8-6, 6-2; J. C. Davidson (Washington, D. C.) beat R. D. Thurber (W. S. T. C.), 6-1, 6-1.

Second Round.—Bostwick beat Fennessy, 6-1, 6-2; Paret beat Millett, 6-0, 10-8; Allen beat Tallant, 6-2, 6-1; Davidson beat Cragin, 6-2, 6-3.

Semi-Final Round.—Paret beat Bostwick, 6-2, 6-2; Allen beat Davidson, 6-4, 8-6.

Final Round.—Paret beat Allen, 3-6, 6-2, 3-6, 9-7, 7-5.

Challenge Round.—Edwin P. Fischer (W. S. T. C.) (holder) beat J. Parmly Paret (challenger), 1-6, 7-5, 6-0, 6-4.

Consolation Finals.—M. S. Clarke beat E. T. Buckingham, 7-5, 6-4.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen (Yale) beat F. L. O. Wadsworth and R. Hickox (W. S. T. C.), 6-0, 6-2; J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret (Southern champions) beat O. M. Bostwick and R. D. Little (W. S. T. C.), 6-4, 6-8, 6-2.

First Round.—L. J. Grant and J. Kearney, Jr., beat C. E. Fennessy and A. B. Ripley, 6-2, 6-3; Hackett and Allen beat E. L. Tailer and Dr. H. H. Heywood (Lenox T. C.), 6-1, 6-1; Davidson and Paret beat E. Taylor Buckingham and M. S. Clark (Lenox T. C.), 7-5, 6-1; Edwin P. Fischer and R. D. Thurber (Metropolitan champions, 1898) beat Harry E. Avery and Hugh Tallant (W. S. T. C.), 6-3, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Grant and Kearney, 6-0, 6-0; Davidson and Paret beat Fischer and Thurber, 7-5, 7-5.

Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Davidson and Paret, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4.

HANDICAP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—C. M. Relyea (N. Y. A. C.) (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat R. D. Thurber (W. S. T. C.) owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-3, 3-7; S. H. Gillespie (M. F. C.) (scratch) beat W. G. Lichtenstein (Cornell) plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-2, 7-9, 6-3; M. S. Clark (Lenox T. C.) (scratch) beat G. B. Hussey (Orange T. C.) (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 30), 6-3, 6-3; F. L. O. Wadsworth (Washington, D. C.) (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat W. Rosenbaum (Bedford Park) (plus 15), 8-10, 9-7, 6-3; O. M. Bostwick (W. S. T. C.) (owe 15) beat Milton Ruggles (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-0, 6-2; E. L. Tailer (W. S. T. C.) (plus 15) beat L. J. Grant (plus 30), 6-3, 5-7, 6-2; H. A. Plummer (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat Calhoun Cragin (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), by default; R. Hickox (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat C. C. Edward (C. P.) (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-3, 5-7, 6-4; J. B. Wing (scratch) beat J. T. Gillespie (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-4, 6-0; W. B. Cragin, Jr. (scratch) beat M. Ogden (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 14-12, 7-5; J. P. Paret (owe 30) beat Arthur Clark (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-0, 6-2; Dr. H. H. Heywood (Lenox T. C.) (scratch) beat C. E. Fennessy (plus 15), 7-5, 9-7; Harry E. Avery (W. S. T. C.) (scratch) beat J. C. Davidson (owe 30), 6-4, 6-1; R. D. Little (W. S. T. C.) (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat F. V. Walsh (Lenox T. C.) (plus 15), 6-4, 11-3; A. B. Ripley (W. S. T. C.) (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat H. J. Moore (Lenox T. C.) (plus 15), 6-3, 6-4; S. S. Burr (W. S. T. C.) (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat E. T. Buckingham (scratch) 6-4, 4-6, 6-4.

First Round.—Relyea beat Gillespie, by default; Clark beat Wadsworth, 6-2, 6-1; Bostwick beat Tailer, 6-2, 6-2; Plummer beat Wing, 6-0, 6-4; Cragin beat Hickox, 6-3, 4-6, 6-2; Heywood beat Paret, by default; Little beat Avery, 6-2, 7-5; Ripley beat Burr, 4-6, 6-2, 7-5.

Second Round.—Clark beat Relyea, 6-3, 2-6, 7-5; Bostwick beat Plummer, 6-0, 6-1; Heywood beat Cragin, 7-5, 6-8, 6-4; Little beat Ripley, by default.

Semi-Final.—Bostwick beat Clark, 7-5, 6-1; Heywood beat Little, 6-2, 2-6, 6-1.

Final.—Bostwick beat Heywood, 6-1, 6-4, 7-9, 6-1.

THE NEW ENGLAND CHAMPIONSHIP.

The time-honored tournament for the New England championship was revived again last spring by the New Haven Lawn Club, and the meeting was greeted with much success. There were no less than thirty-two entries in the singles, and thirteen teams in the doubles, including, beside all the representative Yale experts, A. E. Foote, the veteran ex-champion of New England; J. C. Davidson, the champion of the South; R. P. Huntington, another ex-champion of New England, and J. P. Paret, who afterward won the all-comers' tournament at Newport. The features of the play were Davidson's defeat by Hooker, after an exciting match of three sets, Paret's victory over Allen, the Yale champion, and his defeat by Dodge in the next round. Huntington reached the semi-final round, and then lost to Dodge in a close match, while Foote beat Hooker in the semi-finals and Dodge in the finals, winning first prize. As Driscoll, of San Francisco, the previous holder of the cup, did not appear to defend his title, Foote won the trophy by default, and became its permanent owner, as he had won it twice before.

The summary of the scores follows:

CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

First Round.—E. H. Lewis beat E. W. Watrous, 6-1, 6-1; H. H. Hackett beat G. H. Nettleton, 8-6, 6-0; W. Noyes beat A. S. Mann, 6-1, 6-3; A. E. Foote beat J. Henderson, 6-1, 6-1; R. Hooker beat W. C. Lee, 6-3, 6-0; J. C. Davidson beat L. C. Sanford, 6-1, 6-3; C. P. Peets beat A. K. Andrews, by default; E. E. Hall beat G. A. Lyon, by default; J. P. Paret beat J. Brown, 6-3, 6-2; J. A. Allen beat N. A. Smyth, 6-2, 10-6; J. L. Lyons beat H. P. Bowles, 6-3, 9-7; C. P. Dodge beat J. K. Murphy, 6-2, 6-3; C. L. Childs beat H. W. Hamlin, 6-0, 6-1; F. L. Chase beat M. D. Whitman,

by default; H. L. Galpin beat E. MacEnroe, by default; R. P. Huntington beat D. R. Hooker, 6-4, 6-3.

Second Round.—Hackett beat Lewis, 6-2, 0-6, 6-1; Foote beat Noyes, 6-2, 6-0; Hooker beat Davidson, 6-4, 4-6, 8-6; Hall beat Peets, by default; Paret beat Allen, 6-2, 6-4; Dodge beat Lyons, 6-0, 6-2; Chase beat Childs, 6-8, 6-3, 8-6; Huntington beat Galpin, 6-1, 6-3.

Third Round.—Foote beat Hackett, 6-1, 6-4; Hooker beat Hall, 6-2, 6-4; Dodge beat Paret, 6-2, 6-2; Huntington beat Chase, 6-3, 6-8, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Foote beat Hooker, 6-4, 12-10; Dodge beat Huntington, 8-6, 2-6, 6-4.

Final Round.—Foote beat Dodge, 6-3, 10-8, 3-6, 6-3.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—W. Noyes and C. P. Dodge beat J. Brown and H. P. Bowles, 6-4, 6-1; R. P. Huntington and Huntington beat D. R. Hooker and E. W. Watrous, 4-6, 6-4, 6-3; L. C. Sanford and F. S. Chase beat E. H. Lewis and Morgan, 6-3, 6-2; H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen beat E. E. Hall and H. S. Galpin, 6-3, 6-3; R. Hooker and A. E. Foote beat J. Henderson and Trowbridge, 6-2, 6-0.

First Round.—J. P. Paret and J. C. Davidson beat N. A. Smyth and G. H. Nettleton, 6-4, 4-6, 6-4; Noyes and Dodge beat Huntington and Huntington, 6-0, 3-6, 6-4; Hackett and Allen beat Sanford and Chase, 6-4, 6-4; Hooker and Foote beat Mason and Kearney, by default.

Semi-Final Round.—Noyes and Dodge beat Paret and Davidson, 6-3, 3-6, 6-1; Hackett and Allen beat Hooker and Foote, 0-6, 6-3, 8-6.

Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Noyes and Dodge, 6-1, 3-6, 8-6, 6-4.

THE ATLANTIC VS. PACIFIC MATCHES.

A team of four representative Eastern experts was made up at Newport during the championship tournament for a tour to the Pacific Coast, and the trip was made in September at the invitation of the California players. The Eastern team was composed of M. D. Whitman, champion of the United States and Canada; D. F. Davis and Holcombe Ward, double champions of the United States, and B. C. Wright, the interscholastic champion of the United States. This team left New York on Aug. 24, and played its first match Sept. 5, after several days' practice, on the courts of the Hotel Del Monte at San Rafael, California.

The chief feature of the Western tour was a special match between the Easterners and a team of four Pacific Coast experts, made up as follows: George Whitney, champion of the Pacific

Coast, Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy, champions of the coast in doubles and both ex-champions in singles, and Robert Whitney, the present coast champion's younger brother. These four players were unquestionably the strongest in the far West. Each of the Eastern men met all of the Western players in turn, in a match of the best three in five sets, and the result proved to be an overwhelming victory for the Eastern team, who won 14 out of the 16 matches played. Sumner Hardy was the only one of the Western men who scored, but he not only beat Ward and Wright but played a close five-set match against Davis, and won one set from Champion Whitman.

The annual open tournament for the Pacific Coast championship in doubles was also held the same week at Del Monte courts, and to the surprise of every one, neither of the Eastern teams won the title. Davis and Ward were unexpectedly beaten in the second round by Whitman and Wright, and the latter pair, after beating the Whitney brothers in the finals, went down in straight sets to the Hardy brothers in the challenge round for the coast championship.

At the conclusion of the Del Monte tournament, the Eastern players made a trip through the Northwest section of the United States and British Columbia, and played exhibition matches at Portland, Seattle, Tacoma and Victoria.

The summary of the scores of the exhibition matches, as well as the championship series, follows:

ATLANTIC VS. PACIFIC COAST SINGLES.

Tuesday Morning, Sept. 5.—Davis beat Geo. Whitney, 9-2, 6-1, 6-2; Whitman beat Robert Whitney, 6-3, 4-6, 6-2, 6-1; Sumner Hardy beat Wright, 7-5, 6-2, 6-3; Ward beat Sam. Hardy, 9-7, 3-6, 6-4, 6-1.

Tuesday Afternoon.—Whitman beat Sumner Hardy, 7-5, 3-6, 6-4, 7-5; Davis beat Sam. Hardy, 6-3, 6-1, 6-0; Ward beat Robert Whitney, 6-3, 6-4, 6-2; Wright beat Geo. Whitney, 9-11, 6-2, 8-6, 7-5.

Wednesday Morning, Sept. 6.—Whitman beat Geo. Whitney, 6-1, 8-6, 6-0; Davis beat Robert Whitney, 6-3, 6-0, 6-1; Sumner Hardy beat Ward, 6-3, 6-2, 6-4; Wright beat Sam. Hardy, 6-3, 6-1, 8-6.

Wednesday Afternoon.—Whitman beat Sam. Hardy, 6-1, 6-0, 2-6, 6-2; Davis beat Sumner Hardy, 2-6, 6-4, 6-3, 0-6, 6-1; Ward beat George Whitney, 6-2, 6-1, 6-1; Wright beat Robert Whitney, 6-0, 6-3, 6-2.

The result of the intersectional matches was as follows:

EASTERN TEAM.

WESTERN TEAM.

Matches. Sets. Games.						Matches. Sets. Games.					
W.L. W. L. W. L.						W.L. W. L. W. L.					
M. D. Whitman..	4	0	12	3	87 52	Sumner Hardy...	2	2	9 16	78 64	
D. F. Davis.....	4	0	12	2	74 35	Sam. Hardy.....	0	4	2 12	44 84	
Holcombe Ward.	3	1	9	4	68 49	George Whitney.	0	4	1 12	40 85	
B. C. Wright....	3	1	9	4	79 58	Robert Whitney..	0	4	1 12	32 75	
Totals.....14 2 42 13 308 194						Totals..... 2 14 13 42 194 308					

PACIFIC COAST DOUBLES CHAMPIONSHIP.

First Round.—Whitney and Whitney beat Hunt and Scager, 6-1, 6-1, 6-0; Whitman and Wright beat Root and Collier, 3-0, by default; Braly and Bell beat Stauf and Johnson, 6-1, 6-2, 6-2.

Second Round.—Whitney and Whitney beat Eliven and Code, 6-4, 6-2, 6-4; McFarlane and Stewart beat Cornell and Crowell, 6-3, 6-3, 6-2; Whitman and Wright beat Davis and Ward, 6-3, 6-2, 6-2; Braly and Bell beat Fatjo and Warburton, 6-3, 6-2, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Whitman and Wright beat Braly and Bell, 3-6, 6-3, 6-1, 6-4; Whitney and Whitney beat McFarlane and Stewart, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4.

Final Round.—Whitman and Wright beat Whitney and Whitney, 6-2, 6-4, 6-3.

Championship Round.—Hardy and Hardy (holders) beat Whitman and Wright (challengers), 8-6, 6-3, 6-3.

EAST VS. NORTHWEST, AND EXHIBITION MATCHES.

Portland, Ore.

Doubles.—Ward and Davis beat Whitman and Wright, 4-6, 10-8, 6-4; Ward and Davis beat Bethel and Goss, 6-3, 6-3.

Singles.—Whitman beat Davis, 6-3, 6-4.

Seattle, Wash.

Singles.—Whitman beat Russell, 6-1, 6-2; Wright beat Foulkes, 3-6, 6-2, 6-4; Ward beat Hurd, 6-3, 6-1; Davis beat Whitman, 6-3, 3-6, 6-4; Wright beat Hurd, 6-1, 8-6; Ward beat Foulkes, 6-3, 6-4.

Doubles.—Ward and Davis beat Foulkes and Newton, 7-5, 6-4; Whitman and Wright beat Russell and Hurd, 6-3, 6-3; Ward and Davis beat Whitman and Wright, 6-3, 6-3.

Tacoma, Wash.

Singles.—Wright beat Newton, 6-1, 6-1; Whitman beat Foulkes, 6-4, 6-3; Ward beat Russell, 6-2, 6-3; Davis beat Hurd, 6-2, 6-4; Ward beat Newton, 6-3, 6-4; Wright beat Whitman, 6-2, 6-4.

Doubles.—Ward and Davis beat Foulkes and Russell, 6-2, 6-3.

Victoria, B. C.

Singles.—Davis beat Foulkes, 9-7, 6-2; Ward beat Goward, 6-4, 7-5; Wright beat Severs, 7-5, 6-1; Wright beat Powell, 6-1, 6-1; Whitman beat Davis, 6-0, 4-6, 7-5; Ward beat Foulkes, 6-2, 4-6, 6-4; Wright beat Foulkes, 6-4, 6-3.

Doubles.—Ward and Davis beat Severs and Powell, 7-5, 7-5; Foulkes and Goward beat Ward and Davis, 4-6, 6-3, 6-4; Whitman and Wright beat Johnson and Pooley, 6-2, 6-1; Ward and Davis beat Foulkes and Malcolm, 6-5, 6-0.

THE LONG ISLAND CHAMPIONSHIP.

The once famous tournament for the championship of Long Island was revived at Southampton last season, beginning Aug. 2. It was held as an invitation meeting, and sixteen players accepted the Meadow Club's hospitality, including Champion Whitman, Larned, Davis, Ware, Huntington and Ward. The first surprise of this surprising tournament came in the first round, when J. D. Pell, the young player who had recently won the Columbia College championship, beat Larned by three straight sets. While Pell played a strong game, Larned's defeat was undoubtedly caused by an attack of rheumatism in the knees, which made it very difficult for him to run about the court. The Columbia man was easily beaten in the next round by J. A. Allen, of Yale, while Huntington lost to Whitman, and Ward was beaten by Ware, also in this round. The second surprise came in the semi-final round, when Ware unexpectedly beat Davis by three sets to one, but he was unable to get a set against Whitman in the finals. Still another surprise was in store for those who attended the tournament, for in the doubles, Davis and Ward, hitherto unbeaten, lost to Allen and McKittrick, a new Yale-Harvard combination, after five sets of the most exciting kind of play.

Allen and McKittrick kept up their good work in the final match, and won the first two sets from Whitman and Ware, but were finally beaten after another five-set struggle. Davis, as well as Larned, was distinctly off-color during this tournament.

The scores were as follows:

SINGLES.

First Round.—J. D. Pell beat W. A. Larned, 9-7, 6-4, 6-3; H. Ward beat F. Winslow, 7-5, 6-2, 6-4; M. D. Whitman beat D. Johnson, 6-0, 6-1, 6-0;

R. P. Huntington beat M. G. Chace, by default; J. A. Allen beat R. McKittrick, 6-0, 6-2, 6-3; L. E. Ware beat Ford Huntington, 3-6, 6-4, 6-4, 6-2; A. Codman beat E. P. Larned, 7-5, 2-6, 4-6, 6-4, 6-2; D. F. Davis beat S. V. Skidmore, 6-3, 6-3, 6-2.

Second Round.—Allen beat Pell, 8-6, 5-7, 6-1, 6-4; Whitman beat R. P. Huntington, 6-4, 6-4, 6-4; Davis beat Codman, 6-2, 4-6, 6-0, 7-5; Ware beat Ward, 4-6, 6-1, 1-6, 7-5, 8-6.

Semi-Final Round.—Whitman beat Allen, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4; Ware beat Davis, 10-8, 6-3, 2-6, 6-1.

Final Round.—Whitman beat Ware, 7-5, 6-4, 8-6.

DOUBLES.

First Round.—M. D. Whitman and L. E. Ware beat R. P. Huntington and F. Huntington, 6-1, 7-5, 6-4; J. A. Allen and R. McKittrick beat W. A. Larned and E. P. Larned, 6-4, 3-6, 6-3, 6-4; F. Winslow and A. Codman beat H. W. Slocum, Jr., and Howard Taylor; D. F. Davis and H. Ward beat J. D. Pell and S. V. Skidmore, by default.

Semi-Final Round.—Whitman and Ware beat Winslow and Codman, 6-3, 6-3, 6-3; Allen and McKittrick beat Davis and Ward, 6-4, 8-10, 3-6, 7-5, 6-4.

Final Round.—Whitman and Ware beat Allen and McKittrick, 4-6, 3-6, 6-4, 6-4, 6-4.

PREVIOUS SECTIONAL CHAMPIONS.

WESTERN STATES.

1887—C. A. Chase.	1893—S. T. Chase.
1888—C. A. Chase.	1894—S. T. Chase.
1889—C. A. Chase.	1895—C. B. Neel.
1890—C. A. Chase.	1896—C. B. Neel.
1891—S. T. Chase.	1897—Kreigh Collins.
1892—S. T. Chase.	1898—Kreigh Collins.

MIDDLE STATES.

1885—R. D. Sears.	1892—R. Stevens.
1886—R. L. Beeckman.	1893—R. Stevens.
1887—R. L. Beeckman.	1894—W. A. Larned.
1888—E. P. MacMullen.	1895—W. A. Larned.
1889—H. A. Taylor.	1896—C. Hobart.
1890—H. A. Taylor.	1897—W. A. Larned.
1891—C. E. Sands.	1898—M. D. Whitman.

NEW ENGLAND.

1886—H. W. Slocum.	1892—E. L. Hall.
1887—H. W. Slocum.	1893—C. Hobart.
1888—H. W. Slocum.	1894—A. E. Foote.
1889—H. W. Slocum.	1895—John Howland.
1890—R. P. Huntington.	1896—A. E. Foote.
1891—C. T. Lee.	1897—T. A. Driscoll.

1898—No tournament.

SOUTHERN STATES.

1886—C. B. Davis.	1892—E. L. Hall.
1887—Leigh Bonsal.	1893—E. L. Hall.
1888—(spring) A. H. S. Post.	1894—W. G. Parker.
1888—(fall) F. Mansfield.	1895—A. H. S. Post.
1889—F. Mansfield.	1896—J. P. Paret.
1890—A. E. Wright.	1897—T. A. Driscoll.
1891—E. L. Hall.	1898.—J. C. Davidson.

PACIFIC COAST.


1889—W. H. Taylor.	1894—Samuel Hardy.
1890—W. H. Taylor.	1895—Sumner Hardy.
1891—W. H. Taylor.	1896—Samuel Hardy.
1892—W. H. Taylor.	1897—George Whitney.
1893—T. A. Driscoll.	1898—Sumner Hardy.

CANADA.

1891—E. E. Tanner.	1895—W. A. Larned.
1892—F. S. Mansfield.	1896—R. D. Wrenn.
1893—F. H. Hovey.	1897—L. E. Ware.
1894—H. E. Avery.	1898—L. E. Ware.



STATE CHAMPIONSHIP MEETINGS



THE NEW YORK STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The third annual tournament in the new series for the state championship of New York, was held at Syracuse, beginning July 17. This tournament, following immediately after the Canadian championship, attracted many of the players who had been playing at Niagara-on-the-Lake. E. P. Fischer beat J. P. Paret in the final round by three sets to one, but against Champion Whitman the following day, he was beaten by a similar score. In the doubles J. P. Paret and R. D. Little won the state championship after a close match in the semi-finals against the crack local pair, A. D. Jenney and E. H. Lewis, and a well-played final against E. P. Fischer and W. C. Grant. In the handicap singles, the handicapping committee was very generous with the odds, and the players from Buffalo and Syracuse won both first and second prizes.

The full scores were as follows:

CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—Howard Lewis beat P. Norton, 6-0, 5-7, 6-2; G. Wagner beat D. Hyde, 6-0, 1-6, 6-0; E. P. Fischer beat W. F. Couper, 6-1, 6-1; P. Wright beat Huntington Lewis, 6-4, 6-3; A. D. Jenney beat L. J. Grant, 6-1, 6-2; A. C. McMasters beat H. Burdick.

First Round.—W. C. Grant beat W. W. Magee, 6-3, 7-5; R. D. Little beat E. F. Torrey, Jr., 6-1, 3-6, 6-2; Howard Lewis beat W. B. Smith, 6-2, 6-2; Fischer beat Wagner, 6-2, 9-7; Jenney beat Wright, 7-5, 6-2; McMasters beat M. H. Northrup, Jr., 6-3, 6-3; J. P. Paret beat L. T. Winans, by default; J. A. Randall beat S. Ware, by default.

Second Round.—Little beat W. C. Grant, 6-3, 7-5; Fischer beat Howard Lewis, 7-5, 6-1; Jenney beat McMasters, 6-2, 6-2; Paret beat Randall, 6-1, 7-5.

Semi-Final Round.—Fischer beat Little, 8-6, 6-2; Paret beat Jenney, 6-3, 6-0.

Final Round.—Fischer beat Paret, 6-2, 6-0, 4-6, 6-2.

Championship Round.—M. D. Whitman (holder) beat E. P. Fischer (challenger), 6-2, 6-2, 8-10, 6-3.

HANDICAP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—Burdick (scratch) beat McMasters (owe ½ 15), 10-8,

6-4; Huntington Lewis (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat Smith (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), by default; Howard Lewis (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat Norton (scratch), 6-2, 3-6, 6-1; Wagner (scratch) beat Magee (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 4-6, 6-1, 6-4; Wright (scratch) beat W. Grant (owe 15), 6-0, 6-2; Couper (scratch) beat Winans (plus 15), by default.

First Round.—Fischer (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 40) beat Torrey (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 8-6, 6-0; Jenney (owe 15) beat Northrup (plus 15), 6-0, 6-0; Burdick beat Ware (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) by default; Huntington Lewis beat Howard Lewis, 6-3, 6-2; Wagner beat Wright, 6-2, 6-4; L. J. Grant (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat Couper, 8-6, 7-5; Little (owe 15) beat Randall (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-1, 6-1; Paret (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 40) beat Hyde (plus 15), 5-7, 6-3, 6-4.

Second Round.—Jenney beat Fischer by default; Huntington Lewis beat Burdick, 6-3, 6-2; Wagner beat L. J. Grant, 6-4, 8-6; Little beat Paret, 6-2, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Jenney beat Huntington Lewis, 7-5, 5-7, 6-4; Wagner beat Little, 8-6, 6-4.

Final Round.—Wagner beat Jenney, 7-5, 6-8, 6-4, 6-4.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

First Round.—Fischer and Grant beat Torrey and Couper, 6-1, 6-1; McMaster and Wright beat Burdick and Huntington Lewis, 6-3, 6-2; Jenney and Howard Lewis beat L. J. Grant and Northrup, 6-0, 6-0; Paret and Little beat Randall and Magee, 6-3, 6-4.

Second Round.—Fischer and Grant beat McMaster and Wright, 6-1, 6-2; Paret and Little beat Jenney and Lewis, 6-2, 4-6, 6-3.

Final Round.—Paret and Little beat Fischer and Grant, 6-3, 4-6, 6-3, 6-2.

THE NEW JERSEY STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the championship of New Jersey was held on the courts of the Orange Lawn Tennis Club, beginning June 12. S. C. Millett and George Miles came together in the final round of the singles; the former won after four sets of exciting play. The challenge match between Millett and Richard Stevens, the previous holder of the title, furnished one of the most exciting matches that has ever been seen around New York. The challenger won the second and third sets, and in the fourth with a lead of five games to one in his favor, was many times within a single stroke of winning the match, only to finally lose it in five sets. Stevens has now won the cup twice, Paret once and Jessup twice.

In the doubles the famous Larned entered with his younger brother, and they showed such excellent team work that they

carried off first prize with the loss of only one set in three matches.

The scores follow :

CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—E. B. Barnes beat M. Ruggles, 6-4, 6-2; C. Cragin beat E. P. Larned, 6-3, 7-5.

First Round.—R. M. Miles, Jr., beat G. S. Wilson, 6-0, 6-0; J. P. Paret beat G. B. Hussey, 6-4, 6-1; S. C. Millet beat S. H. Gillespie, 6-1, 6-1; F. B. Alexander beat F. T. Miles, 6-4, 6-1; I. Giffin beat E. L. Tailer, by default; G. H. Miles beat M. Ogden, 6-2, 6-4; J. Bramhall beat K. Gordon, 6-2, 6-3; Calhoun Cragin beat E. B. Barnes, 6-4, 6-4.

Second Round.—G. H. Miles beat Bramhall, 6-2, 6-3; Alexander beat Giffin, 6-4, 6-1; Paret beat R. M. Miles, Jr., 6-0, 6-3; Millet beat Cragin, 6-2, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—G. H. Miles beat Alexander, 6-4, 3-6, 6-3; Millet beat Paret, 6-4, 6-4.

Final Round.—Millet beat G. H. Miles, 6-3, 4-6, 10-8, 8-6.

Championship Round.—Richard Stevens (holder) beat Millet (challenger), 6-2, 4-6, 4-6, 7-5, 6-4.

CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

First Round.—C. R. Runyon and F. T. Miles beat G. S. Wilson and J. Bramhall, 6-4, 6-2; S. C. Millet and G. H. Miles beat F. B. Alexander and Montgomery Ogden, 6-2, 6-1; E. P. Fischer and O. M. Bostwick beat J. P. Paret and C. Cragin, by default; W. A. and E. P. Larned beat E. Lyman and R. A. Griffin, 6-4, 3-6, 6-0.

Semi-Final Round.—Fischer and Bostwick beat Runyon and Miles, 6-1, 6-0; W. A. and E. P. Larned beat Millett and Miles, 6-2, 6-3.

Final Round.—W. A. and E. P. Larned beat Fischer and Bostwick, 6-4, 6-2, 6-3.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The sixth annual tournament, open only to residents of Pennsylvania, for the state championship, was held at Philadelphia, beginning June 13. Dr. Robert N. Willson, ex-champion of the state, made his reappearance in this tournament, and finally won first prize after exciting matches in both the semi-final and final rounds. The veteran's experience, however, pulled him out ahead each time when it came to a close finish, and in the challenge match against James A. Hill, the previous holder, he recaptured the championship after having lost the first two sets. The

doubles furnished another easy victory for Willson and Carpenter, the previous holders of the state championship.

The scores were as follows:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—John S. Morris beat Carroll M. Bunting, 6-1, 6-4; Maurice C. Burton beat Frank I. H. Finn, 6-2, 6-1; J. Kent Willing beat Jesse Williamson, 2d, 6-2, 6-2.

First Round.—Dr. Robert N. Willson beat Frederick S. Hovey, 7-5, 6-1; Wm. E. Bevan beat Edward A. Stroud, 6-2, 6-4; A. L. Hoskins beat Wm. P. Rowland, 6-2, 6-0; John S. Morris beat Maurice C. Burton, 6-3, 6-4; J. R. Carpenter, Jr., beat J. Kent Willing, 6-3, 6-2; Ewing Stillé beat J. Howard Brown, 6-3, 1-6, 6-4; Wm. Jordan beat H. W. Armbruster, 6-0, 6-3; Wm. J. Clothier beat Coleman P. Brown, 6-0, 6-1.

Second Round.—Dr. Robert N. Willson, Jr., beat Wm. E. Bevan, 6-2, 6-3; John Stokes Morris beat A. L. Hoskins, 7-5, 6-2; J. R. Carpenter, Jr., beat Ewing Stillé, 6-2, 6-1; Wm. J. Clothier beat Wm. Jordan, 7-5, 6-2.

Semi-Finals.—Dr. Robert N. Willson beat John S. Morris, 6-2, 4-6, 7-5; Wm. J. Clothier beat J. R. Carpenter, Jr., 6-4, 6-1.

Finals.—Dr. Robert N. Willson beat Wm. J. Clothier, 6-4, 5-7, 6-1, 5-7, 6-4.

Championship Round.—Dr. Robert N. Willson (challenger) beat James A. Hill (holder), 4-6, 4-6, 6-4, 6-4, 6-4.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Wm. J. Clothier and J. Kent Willing beat James A. Hill and Carroll M. Bunting, 6-2, 6-3; Ewing Stillé and Maurice C. Burton beat E. M. Wilson and C. S. Crosman, 6-3, 6-3; Wm. Jordan and John S. Morris beat Wm. N. Rhodes and D. K. Finley, 6-3, 2-6, 6-3.

First Round.—Ewing Stillé and Maurice C. Burton beat Wm. J. Clothier and J. Kent Willing, 2-6, 6-3, 6-3; Wm. Jordan and John S. Morris beat Edward A. Stroud and Coleman P. Brown, 6-1, 6-2.

Finals.—Wm. Jordan and John S. Morris beat Ewing Stillé and Maurice C. Burton, 7-5, 2-6, 6-0, 6-1.

Championship Round.—Dr. Robert N. Willson and J. R. Carpenter, Jr. (holders), beat Wm. Jordan and John S. Morris (challengers), 6-4, 6-2, 6-1.

THE MASSACHUSETTS STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

There were thirty-two entries for the championship of Massachusetts, which was held at the Longwood Cricket Club in Boston, beginning June 17. Champion M. D. Whitman won first prize without the loss of a set, and challenged L. E. Ware, the previous holder of the title, for his cup. The first day's play

was interrupted after Ware had won two of the first three sets. When the match was finished out, however, Whitman took the fourth and fifth sets, and with them the state championship. Holcombe Ward was beaten in the first round by Whitman; Beals Wright lost to D. F. Davis in the third round, while Davis lost to E. R. Marvin in the semi-finals, and Marvin to Whitman in the finals. Whitman, Ware and Ward have now each held the challenge cup once.

The scores follow :

SUMMARY OF SCORES.

First Round.—F. Bradley beat W. D. Dexter, 4-6, 6-2, 6-3; E. R. Marvin beat P. E. Presbrey, 6-5, 6-2; C. B. Wilbur beat H. C. Laverack, 6-5, 6-4; A. Codman beat J. Shea, 6-1, 6-1; A. B. Lapsley beat V. Whitney, 6-4, 6-2; B. C. Wright beat R. G. Fessenden, 6-4, 6-1; D. F. Davis beat E. G. Thatcher, 6-1, 6-2; G. B. Wilson beat B. F. Merrill, 6-1, 6-4; D. M. Belcher beat J. B. Read, 4-6, 6-5, 6-3; M. D. Whitman beat H. Ward, 6-2, 6-5; H. Derby beat E. D. Miles, by default; C. M. Bates beat A. M. Lyman, by default; W. D. Orcutt beat E. Wrightington, 6-4, 6-1; K. Horton beat W. M. Scudder, 5-6, 6-4, 6-3; A. S. Pier beat C. M. Keep, 6-3, 6-2; J. Sanborn beat J. D. Forbes, by default.

Second Round.—Marvin beat Bradley, 6-5, 6-2; Codman beat Wilbur, 6-1, 6-1; Wright beat Lapsley, 6-4, 6-3; Davis beat Wilson, 6-2, 6-4; Whitman beat Belcher, 6-0, 6-0; Derby beat Bates, 6-1, 6-5; Horton beat Orcutt, 6-2, 5-6, 8-6; Pier beat Sanborn, 6-0, 6-1.

Third Round.—Marvin beat Codman, 6-3, 6-2; Davis beat Wright, 6-4, 6-5; Whitman beat Derby, 6-1, 6-1; Horton beat Pier, 6-4, 6-5.


Semi-Final Round.—Marvin beat Davis, 6-3, 6-4; Whitman beat Horton, 6-0, 6-2.

Final Round.—Whitman beat Marvin, 6-2, 6-2.

Championship Round.—M. D. Whitman (challenger) beat L. E. Ware (holder), 6-0, 2-6, 4-6, 6-3, 6-3.

THE RHODE ISLAND STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the championship of the state of Rhode Island, which was held at Providence the first week in September, resulted in the usual duel between Malcolm Chace and Clarence Budlong, the time-honored rivals for this title. Chace went through the tournament and won without any difficulty, but the usual five-set match that followed had a different ending, for this time Budlong finally won after the score had been two sets



CHARLES BROWN AND COLEMAN WARD,
CHAMPIONS OF ALABAMA IN DOUBLES.

to one against him. In the doubles Budlong and Dana won rather easily.

The scores follow:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—R. M. Dexter beat P. Barstow, 6-2, 6-4; J. Gladding beat H. H. Eldridge, by default; J. Cady beat W. D. Brownell, by default.

First Round.—M. G. Chace beat Dexter, 6-0, 6-0; A. A. Barrows beat H. D. Day, 6-2, 6-3; Gladding beat E. Greene, by default; T. M. Phetteplace beat F. H. Gooding, 11-9, 6-1; E. Tudor Gross beat H. T. Day, 6-1, 6-2; L. M. Burt beat R. Clark, 6-2, 5-7, 6-1; C. Godfrey beat Cady, 6-2, 6-1; H. D. Wilcox beat R. Steere, by default.

Second Round.—Chace beat Barrows, 6-0, 7-5; Gladding beat Phetteplace, 6-0, 6-3; Gross beat Burt, 2-6, 6-1, 6-4; Wilcox beat Godfrey, 6-2, 6-3.

Semi-Finals.—Chace beat Gladding, by default; Gross beat Wilcox, 4-6, 6-2, 6-2.

Finals.—Chace beat Gross, 6-3, 6-4, 6-4.

Championship.—C. R. Budlong (holder) beat M. G. Chace (challenger), 6-3, 4-6, 5-7, 6-3, 6-4.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Wilcox and Gross beat Dexter and Gooding, 6-2, 6-1; Budlong and Dana beat Chace and Brownell, 6-1, 6-1.

Semi-Finals.—Wilcox and Gross beat Ostby and H. T. Day, 6-0, 6-3; Budlong and Dana beat Burt and Mandeville, 6-0, 6-1.

Championship.—Budlong and Dana beat Wilcox and Gross, 2-6, 6-2, 6-4, 6-2.

THE MAINE STATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the championship of the state of Maine was held at Sorrento, beginning Aug. 8. Although the entries were not very numerous, they included such experts as J. P. Paret, E. P. Fischer, J. C. Davidson, H. H. Hackett and W. S. Bond. The Westerner was in very poor form, and was easily beaten by Fischer in the semi-final round, while Paret beat Davidson. In the finals, Paret beat Fischer after an exciting five-set match, repeating his Canadian victory over the Metropolitan champion. With the score two sets to one against him, he allowed his antagonist only three games in the fourth and fifth sets, the last being a love set. In the challenge match the following day, however, the New Yorker did not show the same form, and was beaten in straight sets by H. H. Hackett, of Yale, the

previous holder of the championship. Fischer and Bond had an easy victory in the doubles.

The scores follow :

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—J. P. Paret beat R. Thorndike, 6-3, 6-3; W. S. Bond beat J. W. Rodgers, 6-4, 6-1; G. A. Cochrane beat A. A. Cappelle, 7-5, 3-6, 6-3; A. S. Black beat S. M. Isaacs, 6-1, 6-1; J. C. Davidson beat E. G. Thatcher, 6-1, 7-5.

First Round.—Paret beat P. W. Whittemore, 6-3, 6-1; E. P. Fischer beat A. R. Thatcher, 6-2, 6-1; Bond beat Black, 6-1, 9-7; Davidson beat Cochrane, 6-0, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Fischer beat Bond, 6-2, 6-0; Paret beat Davidson, 6-1, 6-4.

Final Round.—Paret beat Fischer, 6-4, 2-6, 3-6, 6-3, 6-0.

Challenge Round.—H. H. Hackett (holder) beat J. P. Paret (challenger), 6-3, 6-4, 6-4.

DOUBLES.

Semi-Final Round.—J. P. Paret and J. C. Davidson beat A. R. Thatcher and E. G. Thatcher, 6-4, 6-4; E. P. Fischer and W. S. Bond beat A. S. Black and partner, by default.

Final Round.—E. P. Fischer and W. S. Bond beat J. P. Paret and J. C. Davidson, 6-1, 6-2, 10-8.



COLLEGIATE AND SCHOLASTIC



THE COLUMBIA COLLEGE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual spring tournament for the championship of Columbia University was held on the college courts in New York, beginning May 29. There were twenty-four entries in the singles, and the matches furnished considerable excitement. J. D. Pell, of the class of '99, finally won the college championship after an exciting five-set match in the finals with Otto Hinck, '99. Pell and Giffin also won the doubles.

The scores follow:

Preliminary Round.—J. Marcus beat H. S. Harrington, by default; Otto Hinck, '99, beat L. W. Bates, '00 S., 6-4, 6-8, 6-1; R. J. Planten, '00 L., beat A. N. Dusenbury, '00 S., 6-4, 0-6, 6-0; H. H. Burdick, '00, beat R. K. Anderson, '01' L., 6-4, 6-2; J. D. Pell, '99, beat H. P. Baniels, '02 S., 6-2, 6-2; D. Burns, '00 S., beat H. C. Breneman, '02 S., 6-0, 6-3; E. W. Cushing, '00 L., beat A. M. Bing, '01 L., by default; I. F. C. Ropes, '02, beat S. K. Wilson, '02, by default.

First Round.—R. H. White, '01, beat Ringer, '01, by default; B. T. Badley, P. G., beat I. Giffin, '99, 6-4, 8-6; Hinck beat Marcus, by default; Burdick beat Planten, 6-2, 6-3; Pell beat Burns, 6-3, 6-2; Cushing beat Ropes, 6-2, 6-3; C. H. Machen, '99, beat G. G. Entz, '99, S., 6-1, 1-6, 9-7; T. H. Booth, '02, P. and S., beat Strebeigh, '02, by default.

Second Round.—Badley beat White, 9-7, 6-3; Hinck beat Burdick, 6-3, 7-5; Pell beat Cushing, 6-3, 6-2; Machen beat Booth, 6-4, 4-6, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Hinck beat Badley, 6-4, 6-3; Pell beat Machen, 6-1, 4-6, 6-2.

Final Round.—Pell beat Hinck, 6-1, 6-4, 4-6, 3-6, 6-3.

THE YALE UNIVERSITY CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual spring tournament for the championship of Yale University was held on the courts of the New Haven Lawn Club, in June. The long list of entries was divided into sections according to the classes of the players, and each class champion, as well as each of the previous college champions, was allowed in the final series of matches, with the right to challenge the last college champion, H. H. Hackett, 1900. In the final round, J. A.

Allen, 1901, easily beat Childs, 1902, and then challenged Hackett for the University championship. The defender was badly out of form, while Allen put up a strong game and won the title by three sets to one.

The full scores follow :

1899.

Preliminary Round.—Dodge beat Benjamin, 6-1, 6-2.

First Round.—Smyth beat Stanley, 6-4, 6-0; Noyes beat Lee, 6-2, 6-1; R. Hooker beat Dodge, 6-1, 4-6, 7-5; Lewis beat D. Hooker, 6-4, 6-1.

Second Round.—Noyes beat Smyth, 7-5, 6-2; Hooker beat Lewis, 6-0, 6-4.

Final Round.—Noyes beat Hooker, 4-6, 6-1, 6-4, 4-6, 7-5.

1900.

Preliminary Round.—Bissell beat Johnson, 1-6, 9-7, 6-3; Barney beat Heinz, 6-3, 6-3; Lyon beat Cressy, 6-1, 6-0.

First Round.—Pickett beat Bissell, 6-1, 6-1; Lyons beat Barney, 7-5, 6-4; Bartholomew beat Coffin, 6-1, 6-2; Walworth beat Tiffany, 9-7, 6-3.

Second Round.—Pickett beat Lyon, 11-13, 6-1, 7-5; Walworth beat Bartholomew, 6-3, 6-1.

Final Round.—Walworth beat Pickett, 6-2, 6-4, 4-6, 6-2.

1901.

Preliminary Round.—Chisholm beat Kellogg, 7-5, 6-3; Carver beat Leeds, 7-5, 5-7, 6-3; A. Richards beat A. D. Allen, 6-2, 6-1; Wyler beat Gray, 6-1, 6-1; Tomlinson beat Scott, 4-6, 6-3, 6-0; J. A. Allen beat Wood, 6-1, 6-1.

First Round.—Watts beat Carter, 6-4, 7-5; Shaw beat Knowlton, 6-2, 6-2; Carver beat Chisholm, 6-4, 4-6, 6-2; Richards beat Wyler, 6-3, 6-1; Allen beat Tomlinson, 6-2, 6-1; Cutter beat Lyons, 6-4, 6-8, 6-2; Carleton beat Barroll, 6-1, 6-0; Woolsey beat Spenser, 6-4, 6-4.

Second Round.—Watts beat Shaw, 3-6, 6-4, 6-1; Richards beat Carver, 6-1, 7-5; Allen beat Cutter, 8-6, 6-0; Carleton beat Woolsey, 6-1, 10-8.

Third Round.—Richards beat Watts, 6-4, 6-2; Allen beat Carleton, 6-2, 6-4.

Finals.—Allen beat Richards, 6-4, 8-6, 6-4.

1902.

Preliminary Round.—Schwab beat Alling, 6-1, 6-4; Newcomb beat B. Clark, 6-3, 8-6; Adams beat Sidenberg, 6-2, 6-0; Knox beat Feeter, 6-4, 6-4; Saljin beat Rumsey, 7-5, 7-5; P. Clark beat Sturgis, 6-1, 2-6, 7-5.

First Round.—Hamlin beat Parks, 6-1, 6-2; Stebbins beat Haines, 6-4, 8-6; Newcomb beat Schwab, 9-7, 7-5; Knox beat Adams, 3-6, 6-2, 6-4; Saljin beat P. Clark, 6-2, 8-6; Childs beat Burnham, 6-0, 6-0; Dresser beat Punderford, D.; Moorehead beat Breinig, 6-3, 6-4.

Second Round.—Stebbins beat Hamlin, 0-6, 6-4, 6-4; Newcomb beat Knox, 6-0, 4-6, 6-2; Childs beat Saljin, 6-4, 6-1; Dresser beat Moorehead, 6-2, 6-3.

Third Round.—Newcomb beat Stebbins, 6-3, 6-2; Childs beat Dresser, 6-2, 6-2.

Finals.—Childs beat Newcomb, 7-5, 6-3, 6-0.

Final Series.

Noyes, '99, Dodge, '99, Hooker, '99, and Walworth, 1900, defaulted.

Finals.—Allen, 1901, beat Childs, 1902, 6-4, 6-2.

Challenge Round.—Allen, 1901 (challenger), beat Hackett, 1900 (holder), 6-1, 6-4, 5-7, 6-2.

THE INTERCOLLEGIATE CHAMPIONSHIP.

The intercollegiate championship tournament was held at the New Haven Lawn Club, beginning October 3. The struggle was narrowed down at the start to Harvard, Yale and Princeton, there being no entries from other colleges. As so often before, the Harvard representative carried off all the honors. Little, the Princeton champion, made a good fight against Ward from Harvard, in the semi-final round, but Ward was unable to win a set in the finals from his college mate, Davis, who won the championship. Davis and Ward also won the championship in doubles, beating their old rivals, Hackett and Allen, from Yale, by three sets to one in the final round. These two Harvard players, fresh from their Pacific tour, won first honors in both events, and also second in the singles.

The full scores of the tournament were as follows:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—Hackett (Yale) beat Ogden (Princeton), 8-6, 6-2.

First Round.—Davis (Harvard) beat Alexander (Princeton), 6-3, 6-0; Little (Princeton) beat Plummer (Yale), 6-3, 6-1; Ward (Harvard) beat Hackett (Yale), 6-3, 5-7, 6-4; Allen (Yale) beat Marvin (Harvard), 2-6, 6-4, 6-3.

Semi-Final Round.—Davis beat Allen, 6-0, 6-1; Ward beat Little, 6-4, 2-6, 6-1.

Final Round.—Davis beat Ward, 6-3, 7-5, 7-5.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Rounds.—Hackett and Allen (Yale) beat Marvin and Thomas (Harvard), 3-6, 6-4, 6-1.

First Round.—Davis and Ward (Harvard) beat Plummer and Childs (Yale), 6-1, 6-2; Hackett and Allen (Yale) beat Little and Alexander (Princeton), 7-5, 6-3.

Final Round.—Davis and Ward beat Hackett and Allen, 6-1, 6-3, 4-6, 6-3.



PREVIOUS INTERCOLLEGIATE CHAMPIONS



SINGLES.

- 1883—(spring) J. S. Clark, Harvard.
1883 (fall)—H. A. Taylor, Harvard.
1884—W. P. Knapp, Yale.
1885—W. P. Knapp, Yale.
1886—G. M. Brinley, Trinity.
1887—P. S. Sears, Harvard.
1888—P. S. Sears, Harvard.
1889—R. P. Huntington, Yale.
1890—F. H. Hovey, Harvard.
1891—F. H. Hovey, Harvard.
1892—W. A. Larned, Cornell.
1893—M. G. Chace, Brown.
1894—M. G. Chace, Yale.
1895—M. G. Chace, Yale.
1896—M. D. Whitman, Harvard.
1897—S. G. Thompson, Princeton.
1898—Leo E. Ware, Harvard.

DOUBLES.

- 1883—(spring) J. S. Clark and H. A. Taylor, Harvard.
1883 (fall)—H. A. Taylor and P. E. Presbrey, Harvard.
1884—W. P. Knapp and W. V. S. Thorne, Yale.
1885—W. P. Knapp and H. W. Shipman, Yale.
1886—W. P. Knapp and W. L. Thatcher, Yale.
1887—P. S. Sears and Q. A. Shaw, Jr., Harvard.
1888—V. G. Hall and O. S. Campbell, Columbia.
1889—O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright, Columbia.
1890—Q. A. Shaw, Jr., and S. T. Chase, Harvard.
1891—F. H. Hovey and R. D. Wrenn, Harvard.
1892—R. D. Wrenn and F. B. Winslow, Harvard.
1893—M. G. Chace and C. R. Budlong, Brown.

- 1894—M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote, Yale.
 1895—M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote, Yale.
 1896—L. E. Ware and W. M. Scudder, Harvard.
 1897—L. E. Ware and M. D. Whitman, Harvard.
 1898—L. E. Ware and M. D. Whitman, Harvard.
-

THE HARVARD INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the Harvard interscholastic championship, was held at Cambridge late in April. Eight preparatory schools were represented by thirty-three players, and the Newton High School carried off the honors by scoring 11 points; the Hopkinson High School, whose team furnished the winner, was second with 9 points to its credit. Beals C. Wright won the individual championship without the loss of a set, while his younger brother, Irving, managed to reach the semi-final round, after beating three other players.

The scores follow:

Preliminary Round.—P. Johnson (Newton High) beat G. E. Fuller (Springfield High), 6-2, 6-0.

First Round.—E. P. Breed (Hopkinson's) beat D. Howes (Newton High), 6-2, 6-1; B. C. Wright (Hopkinson's) beat H. S. Parker (Cambridge High and Latin), 6-0, 6-0; G. Plympton (Newton High) beat Pages, 6-3, 6-1; M. B. Stone (Hopkinson's) beat F. H. Clarkson (Cambridge High and Latin), by default; W. S. Warland (Smith's) beat C. K. Rockwell (Springfield High), 6-2, 3-6, 6-1; E. D. George (Roxbury Latin) beat M. Hunt (Hopkinson's), 6-2, 6-2; I. C. Wright (Hopkinson's) beat Williams (Pomfret), 6-2, 6-4; Johnson beat E. Peters (Newton High), 6-5, 6-5; A. Wait (Newton High) beat Smith (Cambridge High and Latin), 6-3, 6-3; W. Wise (Newton High) beat A. Castle (Hopkinson's), 6-0, 6-4; S. B. Parker (Smith's) beat T. Sturgis, Jr. (Pomfret), by default; E. Leonard (Newton High) beat H. W. Read (Hopkinson's), 6-1, 6-1; Russell (Pomfret) beat C. Hartwell (Hopkinson's), 6-0, 8-6; R. Leonard (Newton High) beat M. T. Wendell (Roxbury Latin), 6-2, 6-1; G. Clark (Promfret) beat H. Kenway (Newton High), 6-1, 6-0; C. Vose, Jr. (Roxbury Latin) beat H. P. Stevens (Cambridge High and Latin), 6-5, 6-3.

Second Round.—B. C. Wright beat Breed, 6-0, 6-0; Plympton beat Stone, 1-6, 6-0, 6-1; Warland beat George, 6-1, 6-4; I. C. Wright beat Johnson, 6-3, 6-1; Wise beat Wait, 6-4, 3-6, 6-0; E. Leonard beat Parker, 6-0, 6-0; Russell beat R. Leonard, 6-1, 6-0; Clark beat Vose, 6-3, 6-3.

Third Round.—B. C. Wright beat Plympton, 6-1, 6-0; I. C. Wright beat

Warland, 6-4, 5-6, 6-4; E. Leonard beat Wise, 6-1, 6-0; Clark beat Russell, 6-5, 6-5.

Semi-Final Round.—B. C. Wright beat I. C. Wright, 6-3, 6-3; E. Leonard beat Clark, 6-3, 6-2.

Final Round.—B. C. Wright beat E. Leonard, 6-1, 6-1, 6-4.

THE YALE INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONSHIP.

The seventh annual interscholastic championship tournament under the auspices of Yale was held on the courts of the New Haven Lawn Club, beginning May 6. Only nine players were entered, and those from the Hotchkiss School easily carried off both first and second prizes. In the finals, Miller beat Collins after a terrific struggle of the full five sets, the first two of which went to Collins. This is the fifth successive tournament won by the Hotchkiss players, and the second victory for Miller.

The scores follow:

Preliminary Round.—Miller (Hotchkiss) beat Hazard (Tafts), 6-2, 6-0.

First Round.—Collins (Hotchkiss) beat Goodrich (Tafts), 6-3, 6-2; Shaw (Hotchkiss) beat Alling (Hopkins), 6-3, 6-0; Miller beat Farren (Hopkins), 6-0, 6-0; Hooker beat Tilney (Hotchkiss), 6-3, 7-5, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Collins beat Shaw, 6-3, 6-2; Miller beat Hooker, 6-0, 6-2.

Final Round.—Miller beat Collins, 3-6, 5-7, 6-3, 6-2, 6-2.

PRINCETON INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONSHIP.

The annual tournament for the Princeton interscholastic championship was held at Princeton, beginning May 20. There were seventeen entries, representing six different schools, and the first prize was finally won by M. Thompson, of the Lawrenceville Preparatory School, a younger brother of the intercollegiate champion of 1897. Young Thompson won the tournament from A. Hazellhurst, also of Lawrenceville, only after five exciting sets of play. He also had two narrow escapes from defeat in the preceding rounds.

The scores follow:

Preliminary Round.—P. Norton (St. Johns) beat G. A. Wildrick (Blair Hall), 6-4, 6-1.

First Round.—A. R. Marsh (Lawrenceville) beat J. E. Tytler, 6-2, 6-0; M. Thompson (Lawrenceville) beat W. G. Rue (Peddie Institute), 6-0, 7-5; W. J. Birdsall (Hackettstown Academy) beat W. J. Clothier (Haverford), by default; Norton beat T. S. McPheeters (Lawrenceville), 6-3, 6-1; C. Bull (St. Johns) beat O. C. Reynolds (Peddie Institute), 6-3, 6-2; A. Hazelhurst (Lawrenceville) beat A. S. S. Tilman (Haverford), 6-4, 6-4; R. L. James (Lawrenceville) beat T. I. Deacon (Peddie Institute), 6-3, 6-1; G. H. Cox (St. Johns) beat E. S. Lose (Haverford), by default.

Second Round.—Thompson beat Marsh, 4-6, 6-3, 6-3; Norton beat Birdsall, 6-0, 6-0; Hazelhurst beat Bull, 6-2, 6-4; James beat Cox, 6-0, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Thompson beat Norton, 9-7, 3-6, 7-5; Hazelhurst beat James, by default.

Final Round.—Thompson beat Hazelhurst, 4-6, 7-5, 4-6, 6-4, 6-0.

THE INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONSHIP FINALS.

Only two of the five interscholastic championship winners who qualified, put in an appearance at Newport for the final series of matches for the national interscholastic championship. These were Beals Wright, representing the Harvard preparatory schools, and Wylie C. Grant, who had won the Columbia preparatory tournament. As Grant had to leave Newport early, the final match necessary was played on Wednesday of the championship week, and was easily won by the Harvard representative, Grant getting only three games in as many sets.

The score was as follows:

INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

Final Round.—Beals C. Wright (Harvard) beat Wylie C. Grant (Columbia), 6-0, 6-2, 6-1.

PREVIOUS INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONS.

- 1891—R. D. Wrenn, Harvard.
- 1892—M. G. Chace, Harvard.
- 1893—C. R. Budlong, Harvard.
- 1894—W. G. Parker, Columbia.
- 1895—L. E. Ware, Harvard.
- 1896—Reginald Fincke, Yale.
- 1897—Reginald Fincke, Yale.
- 1898—Beals C. Wright, Harvard.



OPEN TOURNAMENTS



THE LENOX TOURNAMENT.

The open tournament of the Lenox Tennis Club was held on the club grounds in New York, beginning June 19. There were four events on the programme, scratch singles and doubles, and handicap singles and doubles. A large entry list favored the club, and the list included most of the crack local players, as well as J. C. Davidson, from Washington; J. A. Allen, from Yale, and B. C. Wright, from Harvard. In the scratch singles, Allen was the ultimate winner, beating J. P. Paret in the finals by three sets to one. Hackett and Allen won the doubles easily, while in the handicap singles, Paret (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) won first prize, after conceding the extreme odds from the virtual scratch mark. In the handicap doubles, Paret and Wyeth (owe 15) were the successful team. Two matches in particular caused considerable surprise. W. C. Grant, the Columbia interscholastic champion, beat Hackett, of Yale, in the first round, and Grant and Wright beat Paret and Davidson, the Southern champions, in the semi-final round of the scratch doubles.

The full scores follow :

SCRATCH SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—G. L. Wyeth beat H. E. Avery, by default; F. B. Alexander beat E. B. Barnes, 6-3, 7-5; J. C. Davidson beat F. V. Walsh, 6-1, 6-2; J. M. Vincent beat E. J. Martin, 7-5, 6-4; W. C. Grant beat H. H. Hackett, 7-5, 6-1; B. C. Wright beat C. Cragin, 7-5, 6-3.

First Round.—J. A. Allen beat F. L. O. Wadsworth, 6-3, 6-3; O. M. Bostwick beat E. W. Cushing, 6-1, 6-1; G. L. Wyeth beat C. W. Inslee, 6-4, 6-4; J. C. Davidson beat F. B. Alexander, 6-3, 6-0; W. C. Grant beat J. M. Vincent, 7-5, 2-2 (default); B. C. Wright beat H. A. Plummer, 6-1, 6-1; M. S. Clark beat L. J. Grant, 6-2, 6-2; J. P. Paret beat J. Kearney, Jr., 6-4, 6-2.

Second Round.—J. A. Allen beat O. M. Bostwick, 7-5, 6-2; J. C. Davidson beat G. L. Wyeth, 6-2, 6-3; B. C. Wright beat W. C. Grant, 8-6, 6-3; J. P. Paret beat M. S. Clark, 9-7, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—J. A. Allen beat J. C. Davidson, 9-7, 6-2; J. P. Paret beat B. C. Wright, 4-6, 8-6, 6-4.

Final Round.—J. A. Allen beat J. P. Paret, 6-2, 6-3, 2-6, 6-3.

SCRATCH DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen beat M. S. Clark and J. Kearney, Jr., 6-4, 6-1; C. W. Inslee and L. J. Grant beat O. M. Bostwick and partner, by default; W. C. Grant and B. C. Wright beat F. B. Alexander and E. B. Barnes, 6-2, 6-4.

First Round.—E. P. Fischer and H. E. Avery beat F. L. O. Wadsworth and O. M. Bostwick, 8-6, 6-4; Hackett and Allen beat Inslee and Grant, 6-2, 6-1; Grant and Wright beat G. L. Wyeth and E. J. Martin, 6-1, 6-1; J. P. Paret and J. C. Davidson beat H. A. Plummer and F. V. Walsh, 6-1, 6-3.

Semi-Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Fischer and Avery, 6-2, 6-3; Wright and Grant beat Paret and Davidson, 6-2, 6-3.

Final Round.—Hackett and Allen beat Wright and Grant, 6-1, 6-3, 7-5.

HANDICAP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—M. S. Clark (owe $\frac{1}{4}$ 15) beat H. E. Avery (scratch), 7-5, 6-4; H. A. Plummer (scratch) beat J. C. Davidson (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 30), 3-6, 6-1, 6-1; E. B. Barnes (scratch) beat C. Cragin (owe 15), 6-4, 6-2; F. V. Walsh (15) beat L. J. Grant ($\frac{1}{2}$ 30), 6-1, 6-3.

First Round.—F. B. Alexander (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat E. J. Martin ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-1, 3-6, 7-5; J. P. Paret (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat F. L. O. Wadsworth (scratch), 7-5, 2-6, 6-4; G. L. Wyeth (scratch) beat W. C. Grant (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-4, 6-2; H. A. Plummer (scratch) beat M. S. Clark (owe $\frac{1}{4}$ 15), 6-4, 6-3; E. B. Barnes (scratch) beat F. V. Walsh (15), 6-0, 6-3; H. H. Heywood ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat C. W. Chadwick (15), 6-1, 6-1; C. W. Inslee ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat J. H. Torrey ($\frac{1}{2}$ 30), 6-1, 6-3; O. M. Bostwick (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat J. Kearney, Jr. (scratch), 0-6, 6-3, 6-4.

Second Round.—J. P. Paret (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat F. B. Alexander (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), by default; G. L. Wyeth (scratch) beat H. A. Plummer (scratch), 6-3, 9-7; H. H. Heywood ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat E. B. Barnes (scratch), 6-2, 6-3; O. M. Bostwick (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat C. W. Inslee ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-2, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—J. P. Paret (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat G. L. Wyeth (scratch), 6-3, 6-1; H. H. Heywood ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat O. M. Bostwick (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-4, 3-6, 6-0.

Final Round.—J. P. Paret (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat H. H. Heywood ($\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 2-6, 6-1, 6-3, 6-4.

HANDICAP DOUBLES.

Semi-Final Round.—Wadsworth and Walsh (15) beat Barnes and Alexander (owe 15), 6-2, 6-3; Paret and Wyeth (owe 15) beat Martin and Plummer (scratch), 6-3, 6-2.

Final Round.—Paret and Wyeth (owe 15) beat Wadsworth and Walsh (15), 0-6, 6-3, 6-4.

THE WENTWORTH TOURNAMENT.

The annual open tournament of the Hotel Wentworth was held at New Castle, N. H., beginning August 1. Although the entries were small in number, they included many of the crack players, and the matches furnished some exciting play. The winner turned up in Beals Wright, the Harvard interscholastic champion, who beat Davidson, Fischer and Budlong, all in straight sets, to the surprise of even his most ardent admirers. The feature of the tournament, however, was the final match in the doubles, which lasted for many hours through the morning and afternoon of the last day. W. S. Bond, of Chicago, and E. P. Fischer, of New York, were opposed by Beals Wright, of Harvard, and H. H. Hackett, of Yale. The former pair won the first set after a close finish, but the second was won by Wright and Hackett, who also captured the third after 24 games had been played. Bond and Fischer were too steady in the end for the younger players, and finally won the fourth and fifth sets, taking first prizes.

The scores follow:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—W. C. Grant beat J. Foster, 6-0, 4-6, 6-3; J. C. Davidson beat I. Wright, 6-3, 6-3; Beals Wright beat H. H. Hackett, by default; W. S. Bond beat L. J. Grant, 6-2, 6-1; J. P. Paret beat James Terry, 6-2, 7-5.

First Round.—E. P. Fischer beat Grant, 6-3, 6-1; Beals Wright beat Davidson, 9-7, 8-6; C. R. Budlong beat F. H. Pierce, by default; Paret beat Bond, 10-12, 6-2, 6-2.

Semi-Final Round.—Beals Wright beat Fischer, 9-7, 6-4; Budlong beat Paret, 6-2, 6-4.

Final Round.—Beals Wright beat Budlong, 8-6, 7-5, 6-3.

DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—H. H. Hackett and Beals Wright beat H. King and C. Adams, 6-3, 6-3.

First Round.—I. Wright and J. Terry beat E. Stillman and W. Farrington, 6-2, 6-2; Hackett and Beals Wright beat G. Foster and H. Gulick, 6-0, 6-0; E. R. Fischer and W. S. Bond beat L. J. Grant and H. Penhallow, 6-0, 5-7, 6-4; J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret beat C. R. Budlong and W. C. Grant, 4-6, 6-4, 6-4.

Semi-Final Round.—Hackett and Beals Wright beat Terry and Irving Wright, 4-6, 6-1, 6-2; Bond and Fischer beat Paret and Davidson, 6-3, 6-3.

Final Round.—Bond and Fischer beat Beals Wright and Hackett, 9-7, 4-6, 11-13, 6-3, 6-3.

THE MAGNOLIA TOURNAMENT.

The newly-established open tournament for the Magnolia challenge cup was held at Magnolia Beach, Mass., beginning July 18. The entry list was a strong one, but the delay in finishing the Western championship tournament at Chicago the week before, made a number of the best players default. Dwight F. Davis, the Harvard expert, was the star of the tournament, and he won the Magnolia Cup, after close matches with Ward, Mansfield and Wright, by beating Budlong for it in three straight sets. The surprise of the tournament was the defeat of Leo Ware in the semi-final round by young Beals Wright, who was then looming up rapidly among the cracks. Hackett and Allen reached Magnolia Beach from the West in time to take part in the doubles, but they were beaten in the finals by Davis and Ward.

The scores were as follows:

SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—A. Codman beat S. W. Crocker, 6-0, 6-0; H. L. Ayer beat Ray Little, by default; B. Read beat E. Stanwood, 6-1, 6-2; B. Wright beat Penhallow, 6-3, 6-4; R. McKittrick beat T. M. Rotch, Jr., 6-2, 6-2; D. Davis beat Thatcher, 6-3, 6-2; G. McSargent beat E. Fischer, by default; H. Ward beat Starkweather, 6-4, 6-3.

First Round.—Horton beat Hackett, by default; L. Ware beat K. Collins, by default; Codman beat Ayer, by default; Wright beat Read, 6-3, 6-3; Davis beat McKittrick, 6-4, 6-3; Ward beat McSargent, 6-4, 6-2; Moore beat Allen, by default; Mansfield beat Bond, by default.

Second Round.—Ware beat Horton, 6-4, 6-2; Wright beat Codman, 3-6, 7-5, 7-5; Davis beat Ward, 6-3, 3-6, 7-5; Mansfield beat Moore, 6-4, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Wright beat Ware, 6-1, 6-4; Davis beat Mansfield, 6-3, 4-6, 6-1.

Final Round.—Davis beat Wright, 6-1, 6-2, 4-6, 6-4.

Championship Round.—Davis (challenger) beat Budlong (holder), 7-5, 6-4, 6-3.

DOUBLES.

First Round.—Ward and Davis beat Stanwood and Starkweather, 6-3, 6-0; Ware and Codman beat Read and Horton, by default; Budlong and Wright beat Stanwood and Stone, 6-1, 6-2; Hackett and Allen beat Crocker and Penhallow, 6-1, 6-0.

Second Round.—Ward and Davis beat Ware and Codman, 6-1, 9-7; Hackett and Allen beat Budlong and Wright, 4-6, 6-1, 6-3.

Final Round.—Ward and Davis beat Hackett and Allen, 6-4, 6-4, 6-3.

THE CHICAGO INVITATION TOURNAMENT.

The annual invitation tournament given by the Chicago Lawn Tennis Club was held on the club courts, beginning July 3. Eight players were invited to take part in the tourney, and twenty-six matches were played off in seven days, only two defaults being recorded. Kreigh Collins was taken sick during the tournament, and was forced to default in his last two matches. Each of the eight players met all of the others in turn. W. S. Bond proved to be the ultimate winner, after having won from each of the other seven players. S. R. Neel finished second with six victories and one defeat, while W. L. Myers beat all but the first two men.

The scores of the matches in the order in which they were played were as follows:

Tuesday, June 27: W. L. Myers vs. S. R. Neel—Neel, 6-3, 3-6, 7-5. L. H. Waidner vs. W. F. Burkett—Waidner, 6-3, 6-1. Kreigh Collins vs. J. C. Neely, Jr.—Collins, 6-0, 6-3. W. S. Bond vs. H. L. McQuestion—Bond, 6-2, 6-2.

Wednesday, June 28: L. H. Waidner vs. J. C. Neely, Jr.—Neely, 6-3, 2-6, 6-4. W. L. Myers vs. W. F. Burkett—Myers, 6-4, 7-5. Kreigh Collins vs. H. L. McQuestion—Collins, 6-2, 6-4. S. R. Neel vs. W. S. Bond—Bond, 6-4, 14-12.

Thursday, June 29: W. L. Myers vs. J. C. Neely, Jr.—Myers, 1-6, 6-2, 6-2. L. H. Waidner vs. W. S. Bond—Bond, 5-7, 8-6, 8-6. Kreigh Collins vs. W. F. Burkett—Collins, 6-2, 6-1. H. L. McQuestion vs. S. R. Neel—Neel, 6-3, 10-8.

Friday, June 30: W. L. Myers vs. H. L. McQuestion—Myers, 6-4, 6-2. W. S. Bond vs. J. C. Neely, Jr.—Bond, 4-6, 8-6, 6-4. Kreigh Collins vs. L. H. Waidner—Waidner, 6-4, 2-6, 7-5. S. R. Neel vs. W. F. Burkett—Neel, 4-6, 6-3, 6-0.

Saturday, July 1: W. L. Myers vs. W. S. Bond—Bond, 6-4, 1-6, 6-1. Kreigh Collins vs. S. R. Neel—Neel, 6-3, 6-3. H. L. McQuestion vs. L. H. Waidner—Waidner, 6-8, 6-3, 6-4. J. C. Neely, Jr., vs. W. F. Burkett—Neely, 6-2, 6-3.

Monday, July 3: W. L. Myers vs. L. H. Waidner—Myers, 6-4, 6-3. Kreigh Collins vs. W. S. Bond—default. W. F. Burkett vs. H. L. McQuestion—McQuestion, 6-1, 11-9. J. C. Neely, Jr., vs. S. R. Neel—Neel, 6-3, 7-5.

Tuesday, July 4: Kreigh Collins vs. W. L. Myers—Myers, default. W. F. Burkett vs. W. S. Bond—Bond, 6-3, 6-0. L. H. Waidner vs. S. R. Neel—Neel, 6-1, 6-4. H. L. McQuestion vs. J. C. Neely, Jr.—Neely, 10-8, 2-6, 6-2.



FOREIGN CHAMPIONSHIPS



THE BRITISH CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT.

The annual tournament for the championship of All-England was held as usual at Wimbledon, just outside of London, beginning June 19. The entry list was larger than usual, including thirty-seven names, and among them being that of Clarence Hobart, the famous American expert, who made a second campaign on British courts with considerable success last year. The first surprise of what proved to be a very surprising meeting, was the unexpected defeat in the second round by Dr. W. V. Eaves, who played in America three years ago. Eaves was one of the prime favorites for the first honors, but was totally unable to do himself justice the first day, after a long railway journey, and was beaten by M. J. G. Ritchie in a five-set struggle.

Hobart beat C. R. Dickson in the second round, and J. H. Crispe in the third round. In the fourth round, however, when he met H. R. Barrett, under the pseudonym of "A Player," and was beaten after a very exciting match by 8-6, 7-5, 6-4; "Player" lost to S. H. Smith, the famous base-line expert, in the semi-final round after five sets of brilliant play, and then to the surprise of all, Smith was beaten in the finals by A. W. Gore, by three sets to one. In the challenge round for the All-England championship, Gore was beaten by R. F. Doherty, the previous holder of the title, after he had won the first two sets and secured a lead on the third. Gore is a base-line player, and Doherty's brilliant net play was too much for him in the end.

In the doubles Hobart played with H. A. Nisbet, one of the English experts who was seen in America three years ago, and they won first honors in the all-comers' tournament. In the second round, they met the famous Allen brothers, one of the strongest double teams in Great Britain, and beat them in straight sets. The American and his partner beat G. M. Simond and C. A. Caridia in the semi-final round, after losing the first two sets,

while in the finals they beat Gore and Barrett in straight sets. The famous Doherty brothers were too strong for the Anglo-American combination, and won in straight sets from their challengers. Mrs. G. W. Hilliard won back her former title as champion of All-England, by winning the all-comers' tournament, and beating Miss C. Cooper, the previous holder, in two straight sets.

The full scores of the championship tournament were as follows:

MEN'S SINGLES.

First Round.—G. M. Simond beat C. H. L. Cazalet, by default; H. S. Mahony beat W. V. Doherty, by default; D. M. Hawes beat F. W. Payne, 7-5, 6-0, 5-7, 6-3; H. A. Nisbet beat J. Johnstone, 6-0, 6-3, 6-1; E. R. Allen beat H. N. Wright, 6-4, 7-5, 7-5.

Second Round.—P. Pearson beat Plaskitt, 6-1, 6-0, 6-3; Ritchie beat Eaves, 6-4, 6-3, 6-4, 4-6, 6-4; Gore beat Dudley, 8-6, 6-2, 6-3; Caridia beat Drapes, 6-2, 6-4, 6-1; Greville beat Hillyard, by default; White beat A. J. McNair, 5-7, 7-5, 6-4, 6-1; Simond beat Mackay, 6-1, 6-0, 6-1; Mahony beat Hawes, 6-3, 7-5, 6-2; Nisbet beat E. R. Allen, 6-3, 6-4, 6-0; Day beat A. C. Pearson, 1-6, 2-6, 6-3, 6-4, 6-1; Flavell beat R. J. McNair, by default; S. H. Smith beat Reynolds, 6-1, 6-1, 6-1; Crispe beat Wood-Hill, 6-3, 6-3, 6-1; Hobart beat Dixon, 6-3, 6-1, 4-6, 6-2; Hough beat Milne, 6-4, 8-10, 6-3, 10-8; "Player" beat C. G. Allen, 6-0, 6-0, 6-0.

Third Round.—P. G. Pearson beat Ritchie, 6-3, 6-2, 6-4; Gore beat Caridia, 6-2, 6-1, 6-4; Greville beat White, 6-0, 6-3, 6-2; Mahony beat Simond, 6-2, 4-6, 8-6, 6-2; Nisbet beat Day, 6-4, 6-3, 6-3; S. H. Smith beat Flavell, 4-6, 4-6, 6-1, 7-5, 6-2; Hobart beat Crispe, 6-3, 2-6, 9-7, 6-3; "Player" beat C. G. Allen, 6-0, 6-0, 6-0.

Fourth Round.—Gore beat P. G. Pearson, 6-3, 6-2, 9-7; Mahony beat Greville, 6-3, 9-7, 2-6, 10-8; S. H. Smith beat Nisbet, 6-3, 7-5, 6-4; "Player" beat Hobart, 8-6, 7-5, 6-4.

Fifth Round.—Gore beat Mahony, 6-3, 4-6, 3-6, 7-5, 6-1; Smith beat "Player," 2-6, 11-9, 4-6, 8-6, 8-6.

Final Round.—A. W. Gore beat S. H. Smith, 3-6, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4.

Championship Round.—R. F. Doherty (holder) beat A. W. Gore (challenger), 1-6, 4-6, 6-3, 6-3, 6-3.

MEN'S DOUBLES.

First Round.—E. R. and C. G. Allen beat R. J. and A. J. McNair, 6-2, 6-3, 6-1; C. Hobart and H. A. Nisbet beat A. M. Mackay and M. F. Day, 6-3, 6-3, 6-3; S. H. Smith and G. W. Hillyard beat P. G. Pearson and M. L. White, 7-5, 6-1, 6-2; G. M. Simond and G. A. Caridia beat W. V. Eaves and H. S. Mahony, 7-5, 9-7, 8-6; A. W. Gore and "Player" beat

"A. Raleigh" and H. S. Cross, 6-0, 6-1, 6-2; G. Greville and C. H. L. Cazalet beat A. N. Dudley and B. Wood-Hill, 8-6, 6-1, 1-6, 6-4; C. P. Dixon and M. J. G. Ritchie beat G. E. Evered and K. "Arthur," 6-0, 6-2, 4-6, 7-5.

Second Round.—Hobart and Nisbet beat Allen and Allen, 6-4, 8-6, 6-4; Simond and Caridia beat Smith and Hillyard, 6-4, 6-3, 8-10, 8-6; Gore and "Player" beat Greville and Cazalet, 6-3, 0-6, 7-5, 6-4; Dixon and Ritchie beat Plaskitt and A. C. Pearson, 2-6, 6-3, 6-4, 6-2.

Third Round.—Hobart and Nisbet beat Simond and Caridia, 3-6, 4-6, 6-1, 6-4, 6-2; Gore and "Player" beat Dixon and Ritchie, 3-6, 6-4, 6-4, 6-1.

Final Round.—Hobart and Nisbet beat Gore and "Player," 6-4, 6-1, 8-6.

Championship Round.—R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty (holders) beat C. Hobart and H. A. Nisbet (challengers), 7-5, 6-0, 6-2.

THE CHAMPIONSHIP OF CANADA.

The annual tournament for the Canadian championship was held on the courts of the Queen's Royal Hotel, Niagara-on-the-Lake, Ont., beginning July 11. The usual strong list of entries was secured, and the quality of the play showed the same high standard of other seasons. Champion Whitman was the star performer, and he won the scratch singles without losing a set, and then beat L. E. Ware, the previous champion, in straight sets. With B. C. Wright in the doubles, Whitman also took first honors in this event. The surprise of the tournament was the defeat of E. P. Fischer, the Metropolitan champion, by J. P. Paret, in the semi-final round. Fischer had beaten Paret only two weeks before for the Metropolitan championship. R. D. Little, the Princeton champion, reached the final round in scratch doubles with Paret, and also took first place in the handicap singles, with a handicap of owe 15.

The scores follow:

CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—McMaster beat Power, 6-1, 6-1; Avery beat S. P. Ware, 6-3, 7-5; Tate beat L. J. Grant, 6-2, 6-4; Beals-Wright beat Little, 6-3, 6-3.

First Round.—Paret beat Wagner, 6-2, 6-0; P. Wright beat Norton, 7-5, 6-4; Fischer beat Griffin, by default; Avery beat McMaster, 6-1, 5-7, 6-4; Beals-Wright beat Tate, 6-2, 6-1; Paterson beat Mann, 6-0, 6-3; Whitman beat Kirkland, by default; W. C. Grant beat Bert Wright, 6-3, 4-6, 6-0.

Second Round.—Paret beat P. Wright, 6-3, 6-3; Fischer beat Avery, 6-4,

7-5; Beals-Wright beat Paterson, 6-1, 6-1; Whitman beat W. C. Grant, 6-3, 6-0.

Semi-Final Round.—Paret beat Fischer, 6-4, 1-6, 6-1; Whitman beat Beals-Wright, 6-4, 8-6.

Final Round.—Whitman beat Paret, 9-7, 6-1, 6-2.

Championship Round.—Whitman (challenger) beat Leo Ware (holder), 6-2, 6-3, 6-4.

WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First Round.—Miss Violet Summerhayes (St. Matthews, Toronto) beat Mrs. Burgess (Bradford), 8-6, 6-0; Miss Marquis (St. Catharines) beat Miss Hamilton (Petrolia), 6-1, 6-1.

Final Round.—Miss V. Summerhayes beat Miss Marquis, 6-2, 9-11, 6-3.

Championship Round.—Miss V. Summerhayes (challenger) won by default from Miss Juliette Atkinson (holder).

MEN'S HANDICAP SINGLES.

Preliminary Round.—Winans (plus 15) beat Powers (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 2-6, 6-0, 6-4; M. D. Whitman (owe 40) beat P. Wright (scratch), 6-2, 6-4; Avery (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat W. C. Grant (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-4, 4-6, 6-4; Bert Wright (scratch) beat Love (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), by default; Bissell (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat Baughton (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-1, 6-1; Beals Wright (owe 30) beat Paret (owe 30), 8-6, 6-1; McMaster (scratch) beat Mann (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15), 6-2, 2-6, 6-1; L. J. Grant (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 30) beat McGaw (plus 30), 6-0, 6-0; R. D. Little (owe 15) beat Wagner (scratch), 2-6, 6-4, 6-3; De Witt (plus 30) beat Norton (scratch), 6-3, 6-1; Kirkland (plus 15) beat H. Whitman (plus 15), 6-4, 5-7, 6-2.

First Round.—S. P. Ware (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat Paterson (scratch), 6-4, 6-1; Littell (plus $\frac{1}{2}$ 15) beat Winans, 5-7, 7-5, 6-2; M. D. Whitman beat Avery, 6-4, 6-3; Bissell beat Bert Wright, 5-7, 6-0, 8-6; McMaster beat Beals Wright, by default; Little beat L. J. Grant, by default; Kirkland beat De Witt, 7-5, 9-7; Fischer (owe $\frac{1}{2}$ 40) beat Tate (scratch), 6-0, 6-3.

Second Round.—S. P. Ware beat Littell, 6-1, 6-3; Bissell beat M. D. Whitman, by default; Little beat McMaster, 6-4, 4-6, 6-3; Fischer beat Kirkland, 6-1, 6-1.

Semi-Final Round.—Bissell beat S. P. Ware, 6-2, 6-0; Little beat Fischer, by default.

Final Round.—Little beat Bissell, 7-5, 6-1, 6-4.

MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary Round.—Norton and Tate beat Bert Wright and Park Wright, 6-4, 6-4.

First Round.—Fischer and Grant beat McMaster and Paterson, 7-5, 4-6, 6-0; Paret and Little beat Leo Ware and S. P. Ware, 6-4, 6-4; Whitman and Beals Wright beat Norton and Tate, 6-4, 6-4; Geo. Wright and Griffin beat L. J. Grant and Kirkland, 6-0, 0-6, 6-0.

Semi-Final Round.—Paret and Little beat Fischer and Grant, 7-5, 6-1; Whitman and Beals Wright beat Wright and Griffin, by default.

Final Round.—Whitman and Beals Wright beat Paret and Little, 7-5, 6-2, 6-2.

THE NIAGARA INTERNATIONAL TOURNAMENT.

The annual Canadian international tournament was held on the courts of Queen's Royal Hotel, Niagara-on-the-Lake, beginning August 25. This meeting had a most sensational ending, for R. D. Little, the Princeton champion, not only won the open singles, beating E. P. Fischer in a five-set final match, but also won the international championship by beating W. S. Bond, the previous holder, also in five sets. Again Fischer and Bond had another easy victory in the doubles, and H. E. Avery (owe 15) won first prize in the handicap singles.

The final scores were as follows:

MEN'S SINGLES.

Final Round.—R. D. Little, 6-3, 5-7, 6-4, 1-6, 7-5.

Championship Round.—R. D. Little (challenger) beat W. S. Bond (holder), 6-2, 5-7, 6-4, 2-6, 6-4.

WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Final Round.—Miss Parker beat Miss Champlain, 4-6, 6-4, 6-2, 4-6, 6-2.

Championship Round.—Miss Parker (challenger) beat Miss J. Atkinson (holder), by default.

MEN'S DOUBLES.

Final Round.—E. P. Fischer and W. S. Bond beat P. Porter and P. Wright, 6-0, 6-4, 6-1.


HANDICAP SINGLES.

Final Round.—H. E. Avery (owe 15) beat G. Paterson (owe 15), 3-6, 6-3, 6-4.

REVISED OFFICIAL PLAYING RULES

THE COURT.

1. The Court is 78 feet long and 27 feet wide. It is divided across the middle by a net, the ends of which are attached to two posts, A and B, standing 3 feet outside of



the Court on either side. The height of the net is 3 feet 6 inches at the posts and 3 feet in the middle. At each end of the Court, parallel with the net, and 39 feet from it, are drawn the base lines D E and F G, the ends of which are connected by the side lines D F and E G. Half way between the side lines, and parallel with them, is drawn the half Court line I H, dividing the space on each side of the net into two equal parts, the right and left Courts. On each side of the net, at a distance of 21 feet from it, and parallel with it, are drawn the service lines K L and M N.

THE BALLS.

2. The balls shall measure not less than 2 15-32 inches, nor more than 2 1/2 inches in diameter; and shall weigh not less than 1 15-16 ounces, nor more than 2 ounces.

THE GAME.

3. The choice of sides, and the right to serve in the first game, shall be decided by toss; provided that, if the winner of the toss choose the right to serve the other player shall have choice of sides, and *vice versa*, or the winner of the toss may insist upon a choice by his opponent. If one player choose the Court the other may elect not to serve.

4. The players shall stand on opposite sides of the net; the player who first delivers the ball shall be called the server, and the other the striker-out.

5. At the end of the first game the striker-out shall become server, and the server shall become striker-out; and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set, or series of sets.

6. The server shall stand with both feet behind; i. e., farther from the net than the base line. It is not a fault if one of the server's feet does not touch the ground at the moment at which the service is delivered. He shall place both feet firmly on the ground immediately before serving, and shall not take a running or walking start. He shall deliver the service from the right and left courts alternately, beginning from the right.

7. The ball served must drop between the service line, half-court line, and side line of the Court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

8. It is a *fault* if the server fail to strike the ball, or if the ball served drop in the net, or beyond the service line, or out of Court, or in the wrong Court, or if the server do not stand as directed by law 6.

9. A fault cannot be taken.

10. After a fault the server shall serve again from the same Court from which he served that fault, unless it was a fault because he served from the wrong Court.

11. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service is delivered.

12. The server shall not serve till the striker-out is

ready. If the latter attempt to return the service he shall be deemed ready.

13. A service or fault delivered when the striker-out is not ready counts for nothing.

14. The service shall not be volleyed—that is, taken before it has touched the ground.

15. A ball is in play on leaving the server's racket, except as provided for in law 8.

16. It is a good return, although the ball touch the net; but a service, otherwise good, which touches the net shall count for nothing.

17. The server wins a stroke if the striker-out volley the service, or if he fail to return the service or the ball in play; or if he return the service or the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court; or if he otherwise lose a stroke, as provided by law 20.

18. The striker-out wins a stroke if the server serve two consecutive faults; or if he fail to return the ball in play; or if he return the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's Court; or if he otherwise lose a stroke, as provided by law 20.

19. A ball falling on a line is regarded as falling in the Court bounded by that line.

20. Either player loses a stroke if the ball touch him, or anything that he wears or carries, except his racket in the act of striking; or if he touch the ball with his racket more than once; or if he touch the net or any of its supports while the ball is in play; or if he volley the ball before it has passed the net.

21. In case a player is obstructed by any accident not within his control, the ball shall be considered a "let." But where a permanent fixture of the Court is the cause of the accident, the point shall be counted. The benches and chairs placed around the Court shall be considered permanent fixtures. If, however, a ball in play strike a permanent fixture of the Court (other than the net or posts) before it touches the ground, the point is lost; if after it has touched the ground, the point shall be counted.

22. On either player winning his first stroke, the score is called 15 for that player; on either player winning his second stroke, the score is called 30 for that player; on either player winning his third stroke, the score is called 40 for that player; and the fourth stroke won by either player is scored game for that player, except as below: If both players have won three strokes the score is called *deuce*, and the next stroke won by either player is scored *advantage* for that player. If the same player win the next stroke, he wins the game; if he lose the next stroke, the score returns to deuce, and so on until one player wins the two strokes immediately following the score of deuce, when game is scored for that player.

23. The player who first wins six games wins the set; except as below: If both players win five games, the score is called *games all*; and the next game won by either player is scored *advantage game* for that player. If the same player win the next game he wins the set; if he lose the next game, the score returns to games all; and so on until either player wins the two games immediately following the score of games all, when he wins the set. But the committee having charge of any tournament may in their discretion modify this rule by the omission of advantage sets.

24. The players shall change sides at the end of every set; but the umpire, on appeal from either player before the toss for choice, shall direct the players to change sides at the end of the first, third, fifth, and every succeeding alternate game of each set; but if the appeal be made after the toss for choice, the umpire *may* only direct the players to change sides at the end of the first, third, fifth, and every succeeding alternate game of the odd or deciding set. If the players change Courts in the alternate games throughout the match as above, they shall play in the first game of each set after the first in the Courts in which they respectively did not play in the first game of the set immediately preceding.

25. When a series of sets is played, the player who served in the last game of one set shall be striker-out in the first game of the next.

26. In all contests the play shall be continuous from the first service till the match be concluded; provided, however, that between all sets after the second set either player is entitled to a rest, which shall not exceed seven minutes; and provided, further, that in case of an unavoidable accident, not within the control of the contestants, a cessation of play which shall not exceed two minutes may be allowed between points; but this proviso shall be strictly construed, and the privilege never granted for the purpose of allowing a player to recover his strength or wind. The umpire in his discretion may at any time postpone the match on account of darkness or condition of the ground or weather. In any case of postponement the previous score shall hold good. When the play has ceased for more than an hour the player, who at the cessation thereof was in the Court first chosen, shall have the choice of Courts on the recommencement of play. He shall stay in the Court he chooses for the remainder of the set. The last two sentences of this rule do not apply when the players change every alternate game as provided by rule 24.

27. If a player serve out of his turn the umpire, as soon as the mistake is discovered, shall direct the player to serve who ought to have served. But all strokes scored before such discovery shall be counted. If a game shall have been completed before such discovery, then the service in the next alternate game shall be delivered by the player who did not serve out of his turn, and so on in regular rotation.

28. There shall be a referee for every tournament, whose name shall be stated in the circular announcing such tournament. He shall have general charge of the matches under the instructions and advice of the Managing Committee, with such power and authority as may be given by

these rules and by said committee. He shall notify the committee in case he intend to leave the grounds during the matches, and the committee shall appoint a substitute to act with like power during his absence. There shall be an umpire for each match and as many linesmen as the players desire. The umpire may act as linesman also. The umpire shall have general charge of the match and shall decide upon and call lets, and also decide whether the player took the ball on the first or second bounce. The umpire shall also decide any question of interpretation or construction of the rules that may arise. The decision of the umpire upon any question of fact, or where a discretion is allowed to him under these rules, shall be final. Any player, however, may protest against any interpretation or construction of the rules by the umpire and appeal to the referee. The decision of the referee upon such appeal should be final.

The Court shall be divided between the linesmen, and it shall be their only duty to decide each for his share of the Court where the ball touched the ground, except, however, the linesmen for the base line, who shall also call foot faults. The linesman's decision shall be final. If a linesman is unable to give a decision, because he did not see, or is uncertain of the fact, the umpire shall decide or direct the stroke to be played again.

29. The above laws shall apply to the three-handed and four-handed games, except as below :


THE THREE-HANDED AND FOUR-HANDED GAMES.

30. For the three-handed and four-handed games the Court shall be 36 feet in width; $4\frac{1}{2}$ feet inside the side lines, and parallel with them, are drawn the service side lines K M and L N. The service lines are not drawn beyond the point at which they meet the service side lines, as shown in the diagram.

31. In the three-handed game the single player shall serve in every alternate game.

32. In the four-handed game, the pair who have the right to serve in the first game shall decide which partner shall do so; and the opposing pair shall decide in like manner for the second game. The partner of the player who served in the first game shall serve in the third, and the partner of the player who served in the second game shall serve in the fourth, and the same order shall be maintained in all the subsequent games of the set.

33. At the beginning of the next set either partner of the pair which struck out in the last game of the last set may serve, and the same privilege is given to their opponents in the second game of the new set.



34. The players shall take the service alternately throughout the game; a player cannot receive a service delivered to his partner; and the order of service and striking out once established shall not be altered, nor shall the striker-out change Courts to receive the service till the end of the set.

35. It is a fault if the ball served do not drop between the service line, half-court line and service side line of the Court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

36. It is a fault if the ball served do not drop as provided in law 35, or if it touch the server's partner or anything he wears or carries.



RULES FOR HANDICAPPING



At the annual meeting of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association, 1897, it was formally decided to adopt the English system of handicapping by sixths of fifteen, but without the use of the differential tables. These were abolished the year before, when the quarter-fifteen system supplanted the old method of handicapping with bisques. The official method now, therefore, is by sixths of fifteen, both owed and given, and the rules for using these handicaps are as follows:

GIVEN ODDS.

One-sixth of fifteen (1-6) is one stroke given by the better to the poorer player on the second, eighth, fourteenth and every subsequent sixth game in each set.

Two-sixths of fifteen (2-6) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, eighth, tenth and corresponding games in each set.

Three-sixths of fifteen (3-6 or one-half) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, sixth and every other alternate game on each set.

Four-sixths of fifteen (4-6) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, sixth, eighth, tenth, eleventh twelfth and corresponding games on each set.

Five-sixths of fifteen (5-6) is one stroke given on the second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth and corresponding games on each set.

Fifteen (15) is one stroke given on every game of each set.

Fifteen and one-sixth (15.1) is two strokes given on the same games as for one-sixth, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and two-sixths (15.2) is two strokes given on

the same games as for two-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and three-sixths (15.3 or half-thirty) is two strokes given on the same games as for three-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and four-sixths (15.4) is two strokes given on the same games as for four-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and five-sixths (15.5) is two strokes on the same games as for five-sixths and one stroke on each of the others.

Thirty (30) is two strokes on each game.

Thirty and one-sixth (30.1), thirty and two-sixths (30.2), thirty and three-sixths (30.3 or half-forty), thirty and four-sixths (30.4), thirty and five-sixths (30.5) and forty (40), are three strokes and two given on the corresponding games of each set, as in the fifteen series.

OWED ODDS.

Owed (or minus) odds can also be used in exactly the same quantities, the chief difference being that the better player is required to earn the owed strokes before he begins to score, while, in the case of given odds, the poorer player is given his handicap before play starts. These owed odds may be used either in conjunction with the given odds or separately. In order that the handicaps may occur as seldom as possible in the same games, when two players meet, one of whom owes odds and the other receives them, the games on which owed strokes are paid differ from those on which the given strokes are taken. Here is the table for owed odds:

One-sixth (1-6) is one stroke owed on the first, seventh, thirteenth and every subsequent sixth game in each set.

Two-sixths (2-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, seventh, ninth and corresponding games in each set.

Three-sixths (3-6 or one-half) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fifth and every other alternate game in each set.

Four-sixths (4-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fifth, sixth and corresponding games in each set.

Five-sixths (5-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fourth, fifth, sixth and corresponding games in each set.

Fifteen (15) is one stroke owed on each game.

Fifteen and one-sixth (15.1), fifteen and two-sixths (15.2), fifteen and three-sixths (15.3 or half-thirty), fifteen and four-sixths (15.4), fifteen and five-sixths (15.5) thirty (30), thirty and one-sixth (30.1), thirty and two-sixths (30.2), thirty and three-sixths (30.3 or half-forty), thirty and four-sixths (30.4), thirty and five-sixths (30.5) and forty (40), correspond to the fractions of fifteen, as do the larger handicaps in the table for given odds correspond to the fractions of fifteen in that table.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDICAPPING.

When two players meet, both of whom owe odds, or when both are in receipt of odds, the smaller handicap is subtracted from the greater, and the difference between the handicaps is the amount the better player must owe or give to the poorer, as the case may be. Should two players meet, one of whom owes and the other receives odds, each retains his original handicap, and the tables are so figured out that the handicaps will come on opposite games so far as possible. As an illustration of this, suppose a player (A) owing 15.3 meets another (B) receiving 3-6. On the first game, A owes 30 and B starts from scratch, and on the second, A owes 15 and B receives 15, these two different handicaps alternating on the games throughout each set, the difference between the players being always the same, 30. A begins to count on the even games when he has made his second stroke, while B counts 30 when he scores his first, for one is given to him by handicap. On the odd games, A does not begin to score until his third stroke, while his adversary counts 15 when he wins his first ace.

The simplest method for handicappers to pursue is to sort out the entries for the event they are to handicap into classes according to the relative skill of the players. Then select the class with the greatest number of players in it and place them on scratch, handicapping all of the other players either behind or in advance of scratch by owed or given odds, so as to make all even at the odds.

In deciding upon each player's handicap, his ability as compared with the scratch men should first be considered, and then as compared with his nearest and furthest rivals in the tables of odds. Each player might meet any of the others, and the object of handicapping is to give him an exactly even chance, no matter whom his opponent may be.

It should be remembered that it is easier to owe 15, or any fraction of it, than it is to give it, and when odds of 30 are given, the poorer player's score has already reached pretty near the end of the game, and the difference between him and the best men must be very great to give the latter an even chance, should they meet.

It is very unwise to give even the poorest players over 30.3 (half-forty), and very seldom is it safe to make the given odds run higher than 30. If the difference between the best and the poorest players is very great, place the latter as far as necessary behind scratch, but do not advance the former to more than 30, or 30.3 in the most extreme cases.

It is generally advisable to "seed" the draw in handicap tournaments so that the players in each class shall be separated as far as possible one from another. In order to preserve the element of handicap matches, as few opportunities as possible should be given for players to meet at even odds. To insure this, the players behind scratch should be equally divided between the top and bottom of the draw, and those in advance of scratch also treated in the same manner. If there are many in each class, it is often wise to split the draw up into quarters, so that the and as large a proportion of the matches as possible will players of even ability shall be kept as far apart as possible. be played with odds between the contestants.



OFFICIAL FIXTURES FOR 1900



April 28.—Interscholastic Championships, at Yale, Harvard, Princeton, Columbia, University of Pennsylvania and University of Chicago.

May 22.—Southern Championships, at Bachelors' Club, Washington, D. C.

May 22.—Southern Interstate Championships, at Montgomery, Ala.

May 25.—Bowdoin Interscholastic Championships, at Bowdoin, Mass.

May 26.—California L. T. C. Open Tournament, at San Francisco.

June 11.—New Jersey State Championships, at Orange, N. J.

June 11.—Pennsylvania State Championships, at Merion, Pa.

June 16.—Massachusetts State Championships, at Longwood Cricket Club, Boston.

June 18.—Lenox T. C. Open Tournament, at New York.

June 19.—Women's National Championships, at Philadelphia.

June 25.—West Side T. C. Open Tournament for Metropolitan Championships, at New York.

June 27.—Neighborhood Club Invitation Tournament, at West Newton, Mass.

July 2.—Pacific States Championship Singles, at San Francisco, Cal.

July 2.—Middle States Championships, at Orange, N. J.

July 2.—Chicago L. T. A. Invitation Tournament.

July 9.—Essex County Tournament, at Manchester, Mass.

July 9.—Western Championships, at Chicago.

July 10.—Canadian Championships, at Niagara-on-the-Lake.

July 16.—New York State Championships, at Syracuse, N. Y.

July 16.—Magnolia T. C. Open Tournament, at Magnolia, Mass.

July 23.—Eastern Championship Doubles and Singles for Longwood Cup, at Longwood Cricket Club, Boston.

July 31.—Hotel Wentworth Tournament, at Newcastle, N. H.

July 31.—St. George's Cricket Club International Tournament, at Hoboken, N. J.

August 1.—Open Tournament, at Norfolk, Conn.

August 6.—Georgia State Championship, at Atlanta, Ga.

August 7.—Maine State Championship, at Sorrento.

August 14.—Open Tournament, at Tacoma, Wash.

August 14.—United States National Championships, at Newport, R. I.

August 14.—Omaha Interstate Tournament, at Omaha, Neb.

August 27.—International Championships, at Niagara-on-the-Lake, Canada.

August 27.—Women's Western Championships, at Chicago.

September 3.—Ohio State Championship, at Cincinnati, O.

September 3.—Sleepy Hollow Open Tournament, at Tarrytown, N. Y.

September 4.—Western Pennsylvania Championships, at Pittsburgh.

September 5.—Pacific States Championships, Doubles and Women's Singles, at San Francisco, Cal.

September 11.—Rhode Island State Championships, at Providence.

September 12.—Hudson River L. T. A. Championship Tournament, at Yonkers, N. Y.

September 25.—District of Columbia Championships, at Bachelors' Club, Washington, D. C.

October 2.—Intercollegiate Championships, at New Haven, Conn.

October 9.—Longwood Cricket Club, Women's Tournament, at Boston.



HAND TENNIS



HAND TENNIS.

BY E. J. GIANNINI.

DESCRIPTION.

The game, which may be played either in singles or doubles, is as follows:

"A" serves the ball to the opposing player, "B," who stands diagonally opposite. "B" attempts to return the ball over the net and within bounds, and failing, "A" scores one point. If "B" returns the ball, and "A" fails to return it to "B," it is "hand out," and no points are scored. "B" then serves, and play continues as above described. The player first scoring twenty-five points wins the game.

In both singles and doubles, the server alternates from right to left court, until losing the ball.

RULES.

1. The server must stand back of the base line, within the limits of the width of the court.
2. The ball must be bounced to the floor outside of the base line before striking it on the serve.
3. The ball may be returned after the serve either on the first bounce or on the fly; on the serve the ball must be returned on the first bounce.
4. The ball may be struck with either hand, but not with both together.
5. In serving, two tries are allowed, if necessary, to place the ball in the proper court. If two faults result, it is "hand out."
6. A "Let" is the same as in lawn tennis.
7. In serving, if the ball goes into the net, it is "hand out."
8. Stepping over the foul line at the net, striking the net with the hand or reaching over the net is foul.

9. A ball which rebounds by striking any part of the person other than the hand is foul.


10. A ball striking on the boundary or court lines is good.

11. Any fouls committed by the server or serving side is "hand out."

12. "Hand out" is the loss of the ball to the opposing side.

HAND TENNIS COURT.

Court is 40 feet long and 16 feet wide; net is 2 feet high and



hung 2 feet 6 inches from the floor. Foul lines are 3 feet on either side from the net. All lines are usually painted on the floor in white.

SPALDING'S TENNIS RACKETS



THE "SLOCUM"
No. 11



THE "SPALDING"
No. 14



THE "SPALDING" No. 13...

THE "SPALDING"—Cane Handle

New Model Frame, finest quality white ash, handsomely polished, stringing, finest quality imported gut, rosewood throat-piece, spliced cane handle extending through throat-piece giving additional strength and driving power. Hand-made throughout, of finest possible workmanship as indicated by our special trade-mark, indicating highest quality.

No. 13. Each, \$7.00

THE "SPALDING"—Combed Mahogany Handle

New Model Frame, finest quality white ash, handsomely polished, stringing, finest quality imported gut. This racket is hand-made throughout, all work, material and finish of highest quality obtainable, as indicated by our special trade-mark, indicating highest quality.

No. 14. Each \$6.00

THE "SLOCUM TOURNAMENT"

Finest white ash frame, stringing of best oriental white gut, oak throat-piece, antique finish, polished mahogany handle, finely checkered, leather capped.

No. 11. Each, \$5.00

THE "SLOCUM"

Frame of selected white ash, white oriental B main strings and red cross strings, oak throat-piece, antique finish, cedar or cork handle, polished and checkered, leather capped.

No. 9. Cedar Handle, Each, \$4.00

No. 9C. Cork Handle, 4.50

THE "SLOCUM JUNIOR"

Frame of fine white ash, polished walnut throat-piece, checkered cedar handle, and strung with all white oriental gut.

No. 8. The Slocum Junior Racket, Each, \$3.00

Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER



has typewriters that mark the very highest point of superiority reached in writing machine mechanism. No other typewriter so thoroughly holds its own, presents so many improvements, shows less affect of wear from constant use or requires so little attention. It is always ready.

If your office is not a Smith Premier office, write for our Illustrated Catalogue, Free.

The Smith Premier is especially adapted to the "Touch System" of Typewriting.

The....

Smith Premier Typewriter Co.
337 BROADWAY NEW YORK

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY

SPALDING'S TENNIS RACKETS



THE "GREENWOOD"



THE "LAKESIDE"



THE "GENEVA"



THE "LAKESIDE."

Slocum shape, trifle smaller in size, frame of white ash, polished walnut throat-piece, stringing of good quality white Oriental gut, checkered cedar handle, leather capped.

No. 5. THE "LAKESIDE" RACKET. Each, \$2.50

THE "GREENWOOD."

Slocum shape and trifle smaller than the "Lakeside," frame of good white ash, polished walnut throat-piece, stringing of good quality red and white gut; checkered cedar handle, leather capped.

No. 4. THE "GREENWOOD" RACKET. Each, \$2.00

THE "GENEVA."

Slocum shape and size smaller than the No. 4 Racket, frame of white ash, polished walnut throat-piece, strung with red and white gut, checkered cedar handle, leather capped.

No. 3. THE "GENEVA" RACKET. Each, \$1.50

THE "FAVORITE."

Frame of white ash, polished walnut throat-piece; red and white gut, checkered cedar handle, leather capped. A splendid racket for boys and girls.

No. 2. THE "FAVORITE" RACKET. Each, \$1.00

THE "PRACTICE."

Frame of white ash, walnut throat-piece, red and white gut, checkered cedar handle, leather capped. A serviceable racket for children.

No. 1. THE "PRACTICE" RACKET. Each, 75c.

Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.



THE "FAVORITE."



THE "PRACTICE"

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.



A. J. REACH CO.

PHILADELPHIA

Manufacturers of

Foot Ball

Base Ball

Boxing Gloves

Athletic Goods

SEND FOR CATALOGUE

A. J. REACH CO.

TULIP AND PALMER STREETS
PHILADELPHIA, PA.

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.



Spalding's Tournament Balls

Regulation size and weight, fine felt cover, carefully constructed throughout, and of uniform quality.

No. 0. Per Dozen, \$4.00




Wright & Ditson "Championship" Tennis Ball

No. 5. Per dozen, \$5.00



Practice Tennis Ball

No. 7. Per dozen, \$3.00



Rackets Restring

We make a specialty of this branch of our business and are constantly in receipt of rackets to be restrung, of every known make, from all parts of the United States and Canada. This work is done by our most scientific stringers, and none but first quality gut is used.

Good American Gut, White only

No. CA. Each, \$1.25

Best American Gut, White, Red, or Red and White.

No. BA. Each, \$1.75

Best English Gut, White, Red, or Red and White.

No. BE. Each, \$2.75

Star Racket Press

This press is cheap, simple and durable. Every player should keep his racket in one of them when not in use, to prevent warping, especially when it has been exposed to moisture or use at the seashore.



No. 1. Polished Whitewood, \$1.00

Rubber Handle Covers



For covering racket handles to secure better grip. Made of corrugated rubber.

No. 1. Rubber Handle Covers, Each, 25c.

Racket Covers



No. 1



No. 2



No. 4

No. 1. Soft felt cover,

Each, \$.50

No. 2. Waterproof canvas, leather bound,

Each, .75

No. 4. Mackintosh cover, thoroughly waterproof,

Each, 1.00

Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.



GEO. BARNARD & Co.


Manufacturers of

***Hunting Clothing
and Equipments***


199-201 MADISON STREET
CHICAGO

SEND FOR CATALOGUE


SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.




INTERCOLLEGIATE



PATENT DOUBLE CENTRE



REGULATION



CANVAS BOUND

Intercollegiate Nets.

Hand knitted of best quality twine. Extra wide canvas strip at top. Same style and quality is used at Newport and all championship events.

Double Court, 36 feet, 21 thread, hand made

No. **2E**. Each **\$3.50**

Double Court, 42 feet, 21 thread, hand made

No. **3E**. Each **\$4.00**

Spalding's

Patent Double Centre Nets.

They are hand knitted, and in the centre, where most of the wear comes, have double twine knitted together for 11 to 13 feet, according to size of net. Will outlast two or more ordinary nets.

White, 15 feet, 11 feet, 13 feet.

Length, 36 feet, double centre, 11 feet

No. **2C**. Price **\$4.50**

Length, 42 feet, double centre, 13 feet.

No. **3C**. Price **\$5.00**

Length, 36 feet, double centre, 11 feet

No. **2D**. Price **\$5.00**

Length, 42 feet, double centre, 13 feet

No. **3D**. Price **\$5.50**

Spalding's

Regulation Tennis Nets.

Machine Made.

Single Court, 27 feet, 12 thread White

No. **1**. Price **75c.**

Double Court, 36 feet, 15 thread White

No. **2**. Price **\$1.25**

Double Court, 42 feet, 15 thread White

No. **3**. Price **\$1.50**

Spalding's Canvas Bound Nets.

Machine Made.

Double Court, 36 feet, 15 thread White

No. **2A**. Price **\$2.00**

Double Court, 42 feet, 15 thread White

No. **3A**. Price **\$2.25**

Hand Made.

Double Court, 36 feet, 21 thread White

No. **2B**. Price **\$3.00**

Double Court, 42 feet, 21 thread White

No. **3B**. Price **\$3.25**

Trend and Tarned Nets to Order Only

Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER



Wright & Ditson

FINE
Lawn Tennis
AND
Athletic Goods
Send for Catalogue

344 WASHINGTON
STREET, BOSTON,
MASS. ♣ ♣ ♣ ♣ ♣ ♣ ♣

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.

Game of Squash



No. 16.
Squash Racket.
Each, **\$3.00**

No. 9.
Squash Ball.
Per doz, **\$4.00**

Dry Tennis Marker



No mixing of material. Uses marble dust and slacked lime, etc.

No. 2. Each, **\$2.00**




Guy Ropes and Pegs For Tennis Nets



No. 1. Hemp ropes, plain pegs. Per set, **25c.**
No. 2. Cotton ropes, plain pegs. " **50c.**
No. 3. Cotton ropes, fancy pegs. " **85c.**

No. 3 Will Answer for Backstop Nets

Backstop Nets




Nets, Without Poles

No. 4. White, 50 ft. long, 7 ft. high, 9 thread. **\$2.50**
No. 5. White, 50 ft. long, 8 ft. high, 12 thread. **3.25**

Poles Only

No. B S. Backstop Net Poles. Each, **\$1.00**

Columbia Tennis Marker



Makes a clean, even ribbon line, with contact in full view
No. 1. Each, **\$5.00**

Lawn Tennis Measure



Diagram on side plate and directions for measuring and laying out single or double courts.

No. 1. Each, **\$1.50**

Spalding's Lawn Tennis Annual For 1900

Contains rules, portraits, scores of tournaments and official fixtures for the season.

Per copy **10c**



Catalogue of all Athletic Sports free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER


SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.

TETHER TENNIS GAME

THIS game was originally introduced for the purpose of practicing various strokes at Lawn Tennis. It has now developed into a game having special merits of its own. The implements consist of an upright pole standing 9 or 10 feet out of the ground, having a line marked round the pole 6 feet from the ground. Attached to the top of the pole is a piece of cord, at the end of which is fastened a Lawn Tennis ball. A line is drawn on the ground 3 feet from the pole on opposite sides of it. The players stand outside this line using an ordinary Lawn Tennis racket. The game is to wind the cord, with the ball attached, round the pole and above the line.

The game is usually started by tossing for service. The winner then takes the ball in his hand and with the racket drives it round the pole, the opponent stops the progress of the ball and endeavors to drive it back again and round the pole in the opposite direction. The player getting the ball round the pole and above the line wins the game. A set is usually the best of eleven games. The service may either be taken alternately or continuously until the server loses a game. This game may be played in any garden having an area of about 6 square yards.

- No. 1. Tether Tennis Ball and Cord. **\$1.00**
 No. 2. Pole for Tether Tennis. **1.50**
 No. 3. Marking Ropes for circle and dividing
 line, with staples. Per set, **1.25**



TETHER TENNIS BALL AND POLE READY FOR PLAY
 Cut Shows Marking Ropes in Position

LAWN HOCKEY

THIS game is of recent introduction, and has become quite popular abroad. It is bound to meet with great favor in this country as it may be played by both sexes. Owing to the larger number engaged at one time, eleven to a side, it affords to a greater degree all the enjoyable social features of Lawn Tennis.

Lawn Hockey is an elaboration of "shinny on your own side," and consequently will be quickly understood and appreciated by the boys as well as the girls.

LAWN HOCKEY STICKS

- No. 1L. Spalding's Regulation Metal Stick. Ash head, with large all-cane handle, and wound, selected quality, **\$4.00**
 No. 2L. Spalding's Regulation Practice Stick. Ash head, all cane wound handle, trifle smaller than in our No. 1L. **\$3.00**
 No. 3L. Spalding's Practice Stick. All ash, with wound handle, **\$2.00**

GOAL POSTS

- No. 16. Goal Posts, complete with guy ropes and pegs, **\$4.00**

LAWN HOCKEY BALLS


- No. 9. Spalding's Official Lawn Hockey Ball, **\$3.00**
 No. 11. Spalding's Amateur Lawn Hockey Ball, **\$2.50**
 No. 13. Spalding's Practice Lawn Hockey Ball, **\$1.50**

MARKING FLAGS

- No. 15. Bunting flag, with 7-ft. staff, to mark off the four corners of the field, **\$1.00**

RULES OF LAWN HOCKEY

- Revised to date, **10c.**



Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER

THE SPALDING
H. VARDON
GOLF CLUBS.



Harry Vardon

We have duplicated Mr. Vardon's entire set of clubs from models furnished exclusively to us. They will be inspected under Mr. Vardon's supervision, and great care will be taken to have every club just right. The finish will be of the very best, and every club will be stamped with Mr. Vardon's fac-simile signature.

CATALOGUE OF ALL ATHLETIC SPORTS FREE.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.


(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER

The Silvertown Golf Ball



Size 27 1-2

Selected quality, thoroughly seasoned



The "Silvertown" Ball is almost exclusively used on the principal links of Scotland and England, and is universally conceded the best ball made. Constructed of pure gutta percha, and by a process known only to the manufacturers, it combines not only uniformity of weight and resiliency, but extreme durability and perfection of flight. These essentials of a perfect Golf Ball are not so happily combined in any other make, and have justly earned for it a reputation not only at the home of the golfers, but in every country where the ancient game is played. We have the exclusive control of this ball for the United States, and guarantee each ball furnished by us to be of selected quality and thoroughly seasoned. None the genuine "Silvertown" Ball unless embossed with trade-mark "SPALDING" as shown in cut.

No. 4. Per dozen, \$4.00

The Spalding Golf Balls



"USGA"

Made of best gutta, and thoroughly seasoned; uniform in weight and perfect in flight.

Spalding's "Usga" Ball
Per doz., \$3.75

Spalding's "Bramble" Ball
Per doz., \$3.75



"BRAMBLE"

We can furnish, at all times, any quantity of the above balls perfectly seasoned. Other makes in stock, but owing to fluctuating prices, we quote on application only.

Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY.

JOINTED POLES.


- No. 1. Jointed Poles, ordinary quality, Per pair, \$1.00
 No. 3. Jointed Poles, best quality, polished, " 1.75

SOLID POLES.

- No. 4X. Solid Poles, ordinary quality, Per pair, \$.75
 No. 4A. Solid Poles, finely polished and spiked, 1.25
 No. 5. Solid poles, finest quality, highly polished, with spikes, " 2.50

IRON CENTRE FORKS.


- No. 1. Regulation, patent stirrups, Each, \$1.00
 No. 2. Cheap Iron Fork, " .50



SPALDING'S TOURNAMENT POLES.

These poles do away with guy ropes, are easily and quickly adjusted, and are strong and durable, being made of solid ash, finely finished, with heavy iron bases and pins for fastening firmly to ground. The net is adjusted by small ratchet crank illustrated in cut.

- No. 10. Per pair, \$4.25



PORTABLE MARKING TAPES.



For Single Court, complete with 100 staples and pins.


- No. 3. Per set, \$3.00

For Double Court, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins.

- No. 4. Per set, \$3.50

No. 5. Extra Staples, Per 100, 50c.

CANVAS CENTRE STRAP.



NO. 3-0.

This is a new device for holding centre of net at regulation height, three feet, and is vastly superior to the ordinary centre iron. It serves the purpose intended perfectly, does not chafe the net, and cannot possibly cause the ball to glance off and strike out of court, as is occasionally the case with an iron centre fork.

- No. 2-0. Canvas Centre Strap, Each, \$1.00

TOURNAMENT PATTERN.

Same as above, only fitted with a turn-buckle, with which height of net can be adjusted to a hair. Very desirable for tournament or match games.

- No. 3-0. Tournament Pattern, Each, \$1.50

MARKING PLATES.



For permanently marking the angles of court. Made of malleable iron and painted white. A set consists of eight corner and two T pieces.

- No. 1. Marking Plates, Per set, \$1.00

Catalogue of all Athletic Sports Free.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

(Incorporated)

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY



2. Indian Clubs and Dumb Bells.
4. How to Become a Boxer. [Campbell.]
6. How to Play Lawn Tennis. By Champion
9. The Athlete's Guide. How to Run, Sprint, Jump, Walk, and Throw Weights.
12. Association Foot Ball.
13. Hand Ball.
14. Curling.
16. Skating. A very practical book. By Champion
18. Fencing. [Geo. D. Phillips.]
20. Cricket Guide. By Geo. Wright.
21. Rowing. By E. J. Giannini, Champion Amateur
23. Canoeing. By C. Bowyer Vaux. [Oarsman.]
25. Swimming. By Walter G. Douglas.
26. How to Play Foot Ball. By Walter Camp.
27. College Athletics. By M. C. Murphy. [son.]
29. Exercising with Pulley Weights. H. S. Ander-
30. How to Play Lacrosse. By W. H. Corbett.
32. Practical Ball Playing. By Arthur A. Irwin.
37. All Around Athletics
39. Lawn Bowls. By Henry Chadwick.
40. Archery. By James S. Mitchel.
42. How to Use the Punching Bag.
55. Sporting Rules ; for discus throwing, etc.
58. Bowling. Latest rules and regulations.
62. Military Cycling in the Rocky Mountains. By
Lieut. James A. Moss, U. S. A.
66. Technical Terms of Base Ball.
72. Physical Training Simplified. No Apparatus.
74. Official Ice Hockey Rules; portraits and records.
77. Wrestling.
82. How to Train Properly
84. The Care of the Body.
87. Athletic Primer. How to make athletic tracks.
88. Official Roller Polo Guide.
91. Warman's Indian Club Exercises.
92. Official A. A. U. Rules.
96. Y. M. C. A. Official Handbook.
102. Ground Tumbling. [Walter Camp.]
103. Official Foot Ball Guide for 1899. Edited by
104. The Grading of Gymnastic Exercises.
105. Official Basket Ball Guide for 1899-1900
106. Rules for Basket Ball for Women.
107. Athletic Almanac.
108. Indoor Base Ball.
109. Official Bicycle Guide.
110. Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide.
111. Spalding's Lawn Tennis Annual.
112. Official Rowing Guide.
113. Official Roque Guide.
114. Official Golf Guide.
115. Tether Ball, Lawn Hockey, and Squash Ball.



PRICE, 10 CENTS PER COPY, POSTPAID.
American Sports Publishing Co.,
 16 & 18 PARK PLACE, NEW YORK.

Numbers omitted on above list are back numbers of books which have been republished and brought up to date.

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC GOODS
ARE STANDARD OF QUALITY
AND OFFICIALLY RECOGNIZED
AS SUCH BY THE LEADING
GOVERNING BODIES, WHO IN-
VARIABLY ADOPT SPALDING'S
GOODS AS THE BEST MADE



THE SPALDING OFFICIAL

Intercollegiate Foot Ball
League Base Ball
Gaelic Foot Ball
Association Foot Ball
Basket Ball
Indoor Base Ball
Polo Ball
Boxing Gloves
Athletic Implements

Spalding's

Illustrated
Catalogue

Fall and Winter
Sports



Mailed
free to
any address

A. G. Spalding & Bros.

New York
Chicago
Denver



SPALDING'S OFFICIAL ATHLETIC GOODS

ARE STANDARD OF QUALITY, AND ARE RECOGNIZED
AS SUCH BY ALL THE LEADING ORGANIZATIONS CON-
TROLLING SPORTS, WHO INVARIABLY ADOPT SPALD-
ING'S GOODS AS THE BEST THAT CAN BE MADE.

ONE STANDARD
OF QUALITY IN
ATHLETIC
GOODS. . .



THE.
SPALDING
OUR EXCLUSIVE
TRADE-MARK

OFFICIAL LEAGUE BASE BALL * OFFICIAL IN-
TERCOLLEGIATE FOOT BALL * OFFICIAL
GAELIC AND ASSOCIATION FOOT BALL * OFFI-
CIAL BASKET BALL * OFFICIAL INDOOR BASE
BALL * OFFICIAL POLO BALL * OFFICIAL ATH-
LETIC IMPLEMENTS * OFFICIAL BOXING
GLOVES * OFFICIAL HOCKEY GOODS. * * * * *

*If a dealer does not carry Spalding's athletic goods in
stock, send your name and address to us (and his, too)
for a copy of our handsomely illustrated catalogue.*

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

NEW YORK

CHICAGO

DENVER



LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

0 005 900 291 0